1	IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON
3	In Re:) Case No. 11-40345-tmb7
5	Jens Peter Soballe,) Portland, Oregon) September 8, 2016 Debtor.)
6 7) Final Hearing on Motion) For Contempt
8	
9	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
	Before the Honorable Trish M. Brown
10	United States Bankruptcy Judge
11	
12	
13	
14	Appearances of Counsel:
15 16	For Debtor Michael Fuller OlsenDaines PC US Bancorp Tower 31st Fl
17	111 SW 5th Ave Ste 3150 Portland OR 97204
18	Kelly Donovan Jones
19	Law Office of Kelly D Jones 819 SE Morrison St Ste 255
20	Portland OR 97214
21	For Creditor PSU Jeanne Kallage Sinnott Miller Nash Graham & Dunn
22	111 SW 5th Ave Ste 3400 Portland OR 97204
23	
24	
25	Transcribed From Electronic Recording By: Robyn M. Anderson, Transcriber

3351 SW Redfem place Gresham, Oregon 97080 (503) 618-9938 email: robyntype@gmail.com

GENERAL INDEX

Proceedings of September 8, 2016
Motion for Contempt2
Creditor's PSU Opening Statement15
Debtor's Opening Statement19
Shari Powell (PSU): Direct Examination by Ms. Sinnott
Nicole DuPont (PSU): Direct Examination by Ms. Sinnott
Megan Looney (PSU): Direct Examination by Ms. Sinnott
Jens Peter Soballe (PSU/Debtor): Direct Examination by Ms. Sinnott
Creditor's PSU Closing Argument114
Debtor's Closing Argument
EXHIBITS
(Creditor PSU's exhibits: B, F, G, H, I, J, K, L and M)7
(Creditor PSU's exhibits: C, D and E)32
(Debtor's exhibits: 1, 2, 3, 5, 16, 26, 27 and 28)8

1	September 8, 2016
2	9:05:30 a.m.
3	(Judge Brown)
4	PROCEEDINGS
5	THE COURT: Good morning.
6	MR. FULLER: Good morning.
7	THE COURT: This is the time set for a final
8	hearing on a motion for contempt as to Portland State
9	University, except for the issue today is, we're not going
10	to decide the contempt, it's only whether or not it's a
11	student loan.
12	But what I would like you to consider, and if we
13	need to take a break after we have your evidence so that
14	you can think about this, I would like you to consider
15	putting on whatever evidence you can with the live
16	evidence on the contempt issue today. And then I know I'm
17	not deciding that issue, but then and I'm not
18	indicating one way or the other how I'm going to rule
19	but that way, then you can supplement with affidavits and
20	
	we don't have to have yet another trial, should that be
21	necessary.
22	So, I'm certainly willing to, you know, take a
23	break and have you not right now, but does that make
24	sense to everyone? I really do not want to have two
25	evidentiary hearings if that is necessary.

1	MS. SINNOTT: Your Honor, I see that Mr. Soballe
2	is not in the courtroom.
3	MR. FULLER: He's on 3rd and Alder.
4	MS. SINNOTT: Oh, okay. So, I guess my concern
5	would be if he was not here, we wouldn't be able to ask
6	him questions about what his damages have been.
7	THE COURT: Well, he's he's coming though,
8	right?
9	MR. FULLER: Absolutely, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	MS. SINNOTT: One concern I have about that is
12	when we when I deposed Mr. Soballe, I was going to ask
13	questions about his damages, and Mr. Fuller Fuller
14	objected to that line of questioning because this hearing
15	was limited to the dischargeability. So I have not had an
16	opportunity to question him about that.
17	THE COURT: Okay, well never mind then. I was
18	trying to trying to, you know, make things so that, to
19	the extent we could and really, you shouldn't have
20	objected. It's all one you have a motion for contempt,
21	she should have been able to ask whatever questions she
22	wanted to ask.
23	MR. FULLER: I agree, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Next time, do not do that.
25	MR. FULLER: I will not. It was only in an

1	effort to reduce costs, and we did not get into their
2	knowledge of the discharge order, which would have taken a
3	lot more expense and time during depositions and
4	discovery.
5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	MS. SINNOTT: I think that we've admitted that
7	PSU knew about the discharge order.
8	THE COURT: Right.
9	MS. SINNOTT: That's not an issue for us.
10	THE COURT: Okay. All right. So then I have
11	some other preliminary issues.
12	This is for PSU. In the initial letter, the
13	school claimed that the debt was nondischargeable under
14	section 523(a)(8)(B). But in your trial brief, you argue
15	nondischargeability under 523(a)(8)(A)(i). So I want you
16	to clarify if you've abandoned the 523(a)(8)(B).
17	MS. SINNOTT: We are, for this proceeding,
18	operating under the understanding that the discharge
19	nondischargeability provision was (a)(8)(A)(i).
20	THE COURT: (i), okay. All right.
21	And for you, you have suggested that there were
22	different versions of the contract.
23	If you intend to pursue that argument during
24	this hearing, please focus on quickly summarizing any
25	substantive differences between the various versions. The

1 alleged contract isn't governed by the statute of frauds, and the Court hasn't been able to find any requirement for 2 3 one integrated written document. 4 The fact that PSU -- PSU has two slightly 5 different versions does not appear to be particularly relevant unless the material terms are different. 6 7 And so, just letting you know, I looked at them, 8 I couldn't see any material differences. But that's not 9 to say I didn't miss it. All right? 10 So, and generally, Mr. Soballe's alleged consent 11 to the Revolving Charge Account Agreement, PSU has stated 12 that Mr. Soballe affirmatively consented to the agreement in October 2009, in March and July of 2010. And I hope 13 14 that you can provide detailed evidence backing up those allegations. 15 And if PSU provides such evidence, then the 16 17 Debtor needs to explain why such consent was not 18 sufficient to make the agreement applicable to the tuition 19 incurred for the fall 2010 semester. 20 And finally, labels used by the parties to the 21 contract are not dispositive of what constitutes a 22 nondischargeable debt. 23 Accordingly, even if PSU can prove the Debtor 24 assented to the agreement, it still must prove that the

debt at issue is a student loan for purposes of section

25

1	523(a)(8).
2	At the same time, the terms of the contract are
3	informative, although not conclusive, and nothing in the
4	contract purports to weigh the Debtor's ability to argue
5	that the debt is dischargeable. In fact, that's why we're
6	here today.
7	So, if there is an enforceable contract, I'm not
8	inclined to hold that it has an invalid pre-petition
9	bankruptcy waiver. All right?
10	So just so people are sort of clear about
11	where I am.
12	So, with that said, do the parties want to do
13	opening?
14	MR. FULLER: We have just two preliminary
15	matters, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Okay, and what are we going to do
17	where is your client?
18	MR. FULLER: He is stuck in traffic, and I
19	assure you he will be here by the time he is called.
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	MR. FULLER: And I apologize for his tardiness.
22	First, we'd like to stipulate as to authenticity
23	as to all the documents, except for the two versions of
24	the agreement, and and PSU Exhibits C, D and E.
25	THE COURT: So A, B, F through M can be

- 1 | admitted?
- MR. JONES: Well, A is -- Your Honor, A is the
- 3 one version --
- 4 THE COURT: Well, I just was listening to him.
- 5 | So he said C, D and E.
- 6 MR. JONES: Yeah, C, D and E.
- 7 MR. FULLER: So with the -- also with the
- 8 exclusion of PSU Exhibit A, which is Debtor Exhibit 1.
- 9 And then Debtor Exhibit 16, which I hope --
- 10 | THE COURT: Well, can we -- go back. What ones
- 11 of theirs do you agree can come in?
- 12 (Pause)
- THE COURT: While he's doing that, do you --
- 14 | have you seen his exhibits?
- 15 MS. SINNOTT: I have seen them, and I don't have
- 16 | any objections to their authenticity.
- 17 THE COURT: So it looks like he has 1, 2, 3, no
- $18 \mid 4, --5, \text{ and } 16?$
- 19 MR. FULLER: And we would not object to Exhibits
- 20 | -- I'll just tell you the ones that we do object to of
- 21 | Portland State. A, C, D and E.
- 22 THE COURT: So B, F, G, H, I, J, K, L, M are
- 23 | admitted.
- 24 | (Creditor PSU's exhibits B, F, G, H, I, J, K, L
- 25 | and M were then received into evidence)

1	THE COURT: And you have, what?
2	MR. JONES: He's got three outside of the
3	binder.
4	THE COURT: I see. You have 1, 2, 3, 5, 16, 26,
5	27 and 28.
6	MR. FULLER: Correct, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: That's kind of a bizarre numbering
8	system.
9	MR. FULLER: I know, we just used the numbers
10	that we had used at the deposition for
11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	MR. FULLER: Sorry.
13	THE COURT: And you have no objection to those.
14	MS. SINNOTT: I have no objection to the
15	authenticity of those documents.
16	THE COURT: Okay. You you can object to
17	relevance or whatever.
18	(Debtor's exhibits 1, 2, 3, 5, 16, 26, 27 and 28
19	were then received into evidence)
20	MS. SINNOTT: Yes.
21	THE COURT: Right.
22	MR. FULLER: And, Your Honor, we had stipulated,
23	may it please the Court, that Portland State will call its
24	witnesses first. We will be allowed to cross-examine them
25	as as if on direct, so the witnesses can be excused, so

1	we don't have to recall them in our case.
2	THE COURT: That's fine.
3	MR. FULLER: And we are going to object to the
4	testimony of this witness, Ms. Powell. We can do that
5	now, or however Your Honor prefers, but prior to her
6	testimony.
7	MS. SINNOTT: I'd rather just address that now -
8	_
9	THE COURT: That's fine.
10	MS. SINNOTT: if you don't mind.
11	THE COURT: Who who is it, and what's the
12	MS. SINNOTT: Your Honor Your Honor, Ms.
13	Powell is the IT person from Portland State who we intend
14	to call to authenticate the electronic agree to Mr.
15	Soballe's electronic acceptance of the terms and
16	conditions of the Revolving Credit Account Agreement. And
17	that's I guess I'll let Mr. Fuller argue as to why he
18	objects to her testimony, and respond.
19	MR. FULLER: Your Honor, we served request for
20	production marked as Exhibit 29, and the first six
21	requests were for documents identifying the witnesses who
22	might have personal knowledge or who might testify today.
23	And Portland State's response was that they had produced
24	documents that identify those potential witnesses.
25	During the deposition, the first 30(b)(6)

deposition, we asked specifically if these requests were reviewed, and we were told they were.

Throughout the first deposition and the continued 30(b)(6) deposition of Ms. Looney, we asked for the witnesses that might have knowledge about the authentication of these agreements, because it's so important to our case, and we were told that there was no one else.

The first time we ever saw Ms. Powell's name was when the witness list was filed. We know nothing about her or her testimony. And we would ask her to be excluded, because we were very clear, and I can point you to the parts of the transcript where we wanted to know everyone who was going to be here so we could ask them about the authentication and the assent issues. And we were never -- you know, these were ongoing -- these stated they were ongoing, and we never got anything, a phone call or an email or anything, so that we could have deposed them before today.

MS. SINNOTT: Your Honor, we produced witnesses for the 30(b)(6) depositions, and in good faith we produced who we thought the Debtor's counsel needed to depose.

During the course of discovery, and very recently, it has come to our attention through arguments

- 1 | from counsel that Mr. Soballe never agreed to this RCAP.
- 2 | We didn't know that that was going to be an issue in this
- 3 | case. He -- in the motion for contempt, he specifically
- $4 \mid$ said, "This is my agreement with PSU." He attached it to
- 5 | his declaration. We proceed -- we produced that agreement
- 6 in discovery. We produced the witness who produced -- who
- 7 | provided that agreement to Mr. Soballe.
- 8 It only came out during the course of this
- 9 proceeding that Mr. Soballe was now claiming, "Oh, I -- I
- 10 | never signed that."
- 11 So PSU would now be prejudiced if it could not
- 12 | call a witness to authenticate that he did actually sign -
- 13 | or agree to this RCAP through his online student
- 14 | account. And I don't know what prejudice Mr. Soballe
- 15 | would suffer if we couldn't -- if we did put this witness
- 16 on.
- MR. FULLER: This could --
- MS. SINNOTT: Also -- I actually want to note
- 19 one more thing.
- 20 So, the last deposition was I believe August
- 21 | 29th. No, August 18th.
- 22 During -- at the end of that deposition, Mr.
- 23 | Jones said, "I want to keep this deposition open again."
- 24 | We had already provided two witnesses to answer their
- 25 | questions. We said, "You know, if you -- we're not going

to agree to that. If you want to have another 30(b)(6) deposition, you can take it up with the Court." They never filed a motion to compel, they never contacted the Court, we never had a conference about it. Just now they're -- they're arguing that we shouldn't be able to present this witness. I don't think that they preserved their ability to argue that at this point.

MR. FULLER: One thing, Your Honor. We had an initial deposition of both parties. At that deposition, Mr. Soballe said in no uncertain terms that he didn't recall ever seeing that agreement before it was emailed to him in 2013. They were aware of that fact at that point. We did a follow-up deposition, and if you would turn to page 141 of Ms. Looney's deposition transcript, and I'll just let Your Honor read from lines 7 to 14, and we'd rest on that argument. If the witness is able to testify, we'd ask that this Court consider the weight given to her testimony, considering we specifically asked if anyone would have more information about the authentication of these documents, and they said they didn't know.

MS. SINNOTT: Your Honor, Ms. Looney is from Student Financial Services. During the first deposition of Nicolle DuPont, who is from the Registrar's Office, who was the witness that we put on to describe why Mr. Soballe could have dropped his classes even though he claims he

1 couldn't, because that's what we thought the issue was in 2 the beginning. 3 During that deposition, Mr. Jones went through 4 excruciating detail in the account statement, which is 5 Exhibit -- I believe it's our last exhibit. But it's --6 it's a very dense document that has a bunch of entries 7 about credits and debits, and what are these codes, and 8 what have you. And that seemed to be the -- the issue 9 that Debtor's counsel really wanted to get at. So we 10 produced Ms. Looney, who knows the specifics about that. 11 And then only later did it come out that Mr. 12 Soballe's saying he never agreed to this agreement. So 13 now we need to find an IT person to show that when he 14 clicked the box, we could prove that he agreed. 15 THE COURT: Okay, hang on a second.

16 (Pause)

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Well, your motion for contempt says he registered for 2010 fall classes.

MR. FULLER: And he did, Your Honor.

What the evidence is going to show is that he was unaware of any agreement between him and Portland State, and when he asked for it, they emailed him an agreement in 2013. But he doesn't ever recall having seen it.

THE COURT: Oh, okay. I'm going to allow the

1	testimony.
2	MR. FULLER: Okay.
3	MS. SINNOTT: Thank you.
4	THE COURT: You you said he registered for
5	classes. What he knew or didn't know, that's another
6	thing altogether. But he registered for classes. And
7	what did he do when he did that? And I'm going to allow
8	the testimony.
9	And whether or not you could take a deposition
10	of the per the IT person really, I'm not going to find
11	that there would be much information in a deposition of
12	the IT person. So
13	MR. FULLER: Yes, Judge.
14	THE COURT: all right? So, okay. So now do
15	you want to do opening or not?
16	MS. SINNOTT: Your Honor, I would like to do a
17	short opening, if you don't mind.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Well, it's his motion, so he
19	should go first, if you want to do opening.
20	MR. FULLER: Go first.
21	MS. SINNOTT: I think they wanted me to go first
22	for
23	MR. FULLER: The parties seem to agree that
24	Portland State had the burden, and so if we want to
25	THE COURT: Whatever. Fine.

Robyn M. Anderson, Transcriber (503) 618-9938 Case 11-40345-tmb7 Doc 50 Filed 11/10/16

1	MR. FULLER: Okay.
2	MS. SINNOTT: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
3	
4	CREDITOR PSU'S OPENING STATEMENT
5	MS. SINNOTT: Your Honor, from my trial brief,
6	what I see here is that there are three issues for trial.
7	And I want to just kind of outline what the evidence is
8	going to show for those three issues.
9	So the first
10	THE COURT: Hang on a minute.
11	MS. SINNOTT: I'm sorry.
12	THE COURT: Can you maybe you should remain
13	seated and
14	THE CLERK: Move the microphone.
15	THE COURT: pull the microphone in.
16	MS. SINNOTT: Okay. Sorry. Is that better?
17	THE COURT: Way better.
18	MS. SINNOTT: Oh, wow. Okay, so, as I was
19	saying, there are three issues for trial here.
20	The first one, as I see it, is whether Debtor
21	owed anything to PSU.
22	Debtor alleges that he was not able to drop his
23	classes due to an online hold. And the only fact that
24	this could be relative to is whether he owes anything to
25	PSU. If he wasn't allowed to drop, and he should have

been allowed to drop. And I guess what he's arguing is that he doesn't owe anything.

But the evidence will show -- well, first of all, he already admitted that he owed an obligation. His motion for contempt admits that he owes a debt. His schedules admit that he owes a debt. So, we think that alone shows that he owes the debt. Or at least did at the time of his bankruptcy petition.

But additionally, the evidence will show that Debtor's hold was not placed until October 20th, 2010. That was well after the drop deadline. If Debtor was in Haiti, as he says in his motion, until October 24th, then he wouldn't have been able to drop before the deadline anyway.

The second thing is the evidence will show that

Debtor -- if Debtor was having trouble dropping online, if

there was in fact a hold on his account before the

semester began and before the drop deadlines expired, he

could have easily gone to the Registrar's Office, he could

have called, he could have emailed, and he could have

dropped his classes. The online hold does not preclude a

student from dropping their classes. It just precludes a

student from dropping their classes online.

The evidence will show that Debtor did none of those things.

1 The evidence will also show that Debtor could 2 have retroactively obtained a refund of these amounts, up 3 to a year later, by filing two separate petitions. 4 evidence will show that Debtor did none of those things. 5 So we think the evidence will show that Debtor 6 did owe PSU for this unpaid tuition. 7 The second issue is whether the obligation is 8 governed by the RCAP. And that's the Revolving Credit 9 Account Agreement. The "P" is for policy, the -- it's 10 Revolving Credit Account Agreement Policy. 11 The Debtor alleges, although he did sign a 12 declaration attaching the RCAP as an exhibit, claiming 13 that that was his agreement with PSU at the beginning of 14 this proceeding, he now alleges that he does not remember agreeing to this RCAP. 15 However, the evidence will show that Debtor 16 17 agreed to the RCAP on numerous occasions, beginning as 18 early as 2005. 19 In 2010, before he registered -- before he was 20 permitted to register for his classes for fall 2010, he 21 was required to "click the box" of the terms and 22 conditions which had the RCAP as a hyperlink. He was not 23 permitted to register without doing that. 24 Debtor was also required to accept -- the

25

evidence will show that Debtor was also required to accept

1 the RCAP before doing such things as changing his online password. The evidence will show he changed his online 2 3 password on July 22nd before he registered for classes. 4 Debtor also cannot offer evidence -- any 5 evidence that he did not register for RCAP. The evidence will show that when I asked Debtor 6 7 during his deposition whether it was possible that he had 8 agreed to the RCAP, he said it was possible. 9 The third issue, and this is the issue that I 10 think is really the meat of this proceeding, is whether 11 the RCAP is an educational loan under 523(a)(8)(A)(i). 12 The Debtor alleges that the RCAP is not an educational loan, because he never attended classes, and therefore 13 never received a benefit. 14 However, the evidence will show, first, PSU is a 15 government unit. That's one of the prerequisites for 16 17 meeting the requirements of 523(a)(8)(A)(i). 18 The evidence will also show the RCAP is a loan. 19 It has deferred payments, it's an extension of credit, 20 there are due dates, there are interest charges for past 21 due payments, the Debtor would incur late fees by not 22 paying on time, and collection fees. 23 In sum, Your Honor, the evidence will show these 24 three issues in favor of PSU.

THE COURT: All right.

25

MR. JONES: Your Honor, just a short opening for 1 2 Debtor. Permission to stay seated. 3 THE COURT: Permission granted. 4 5 DEBTOR'S OPENING STATEMENT 6 MR. JONES: So, as Debtor sees this, the -- the 7 -- really the only issue here is whether this deferred 8 tuition debt was dischargeable or nondischargeable under 9 523(a)(8). 10 In order for it to be so, it must be considered 11 a loan. 12 In the Ninth Circuit, the definition of "loan" 13 has been extended to include certain tuition debts that 14 are governed by a valid agreement that sets forth the terms and conditions of the repayment, and including a 15 16 date certain for repayment, and (3) whether there's an 17 actual benefit received by the Debtor resulting in the 18 debt. 19 So the two sub-issues here to determine whether 20 this was a loan is, again, is there a valid and 21 enforceable agreement pertaining to the 2000 tuition 22 debt, and did Mr. Soballe receive an actual benefit from 23 that tuition debt? 24 THE COURT: Okay. 25 MR. JONES: And I guess one more thing.

1	In in terms of arguing whether a debt was
2	actually owed, Debtor concedes that there is a debt owed
3	here. We're we're not setting forth that there was
4	not a debt owed, just whether that debt is a loan under
5	523(a)(8).
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	MR. JONES: And we also concede that they're
8	PSU is a governmental unit, for whatever that's worth.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Was that not in your
10	stipulated facts? Things like that should have been
11	there.
12	MS. SINNOTT: It should have been.
13	MR. JONES: It should have been.
14	MR. FULLER: Ms. Sinnott forgot it.
15	MS. SINNOTT: That's my bad.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Not casting blame, just
17	saying that probably should have been in the stipulated
18	facts. Okay. Call your first witness.
19	MS. SINNOTT: Thank you. Your Honor, I'd like
20	to call Shari Powell.
21	THE COURT: All right.
22	MS. SINNOTT: And, Your Honor, I have a visual
23	aid I'm not intending to admit as an exhibit, and I've
24	given it to Debtor's counsel. Do you mind, may I
25	approach?

	Shari Powell - D
1	THE COURT: You may. Thank you.
2	
3	SHARI POWELL
4	called as a witness by the Creditor PSU, having being
5	first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
6	THE COURT: Please state your full name and
7	spell your last name for the record.
8	THE WITNESS: Shari Powell, P-o-w-e-l-l.
9	THE COURT: All right. Okay, thank you, you
10	may be seated.
11	DIRECT EXAMINATION
12	BY MS. SINNOTT:
13	Q Good morning, Ms. Powell.
14	A Good morning.
15	Q Ms. Powell, where do you work?
16	A Portland State University.
	-
17	Q And what is your title at Portland State
17 18	
	Q And what is your title at Portland State
18	Q And what is your title at Portland State University?
18 19	Q And what is your title at Portland State University? A I'm the Associate Director of ERP in the
18 19 20	Q And what is your title at Portland State University? A I'm the Associate Director of ERP in the Information Solutions Team.
18 19 20 21	Q And what is your title at Portland State University? A I'm the Associate Director of ERP in the Information Solutions Team. Q And what does ERP stand for?
18 19 20 21 22	Q And what is your title at Portland State University? A I'm the Associate Director of ERP in the Information Solutions Team. Q And what does ERP stand for? A Enterprise Resource Planning.

- 1 | programmers, and we're responsible for supporting and
- 2 | maintaining the administrative computing systems of
- 3 | Portland State.
- 4 Q And does that include maintaining student
- 5 | records?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And how long have you been responsible for
- 8 | maintaining student records at PSU?
- 9 A 22 years.
- 10 Q So it's safe to say you're generally familiar
- 11 | with the procedures for maintaining student records?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q And the security of maintaining student
- 14 | records?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Can you tell me how records are electronically
- 17 | stored at PSU?
- 18 MS. SINNOTT: And this is where the visual aid
- 19 | will come in handy.
- 20 THE COURT: All right.
- 21 A Okay, we have three Oracle databases. And so
- 22 on this picture, the blue box is OAM, that's Odin Account
- 23 | Manager. And that's where the general student computer
- 24 | accounts, and faculty and staff, as well, are stored.
- 25 | The second one, the green box, that's the

- 1 Banner Information System. That stores data on student
- 2 | registration, accounts receivable, and other
- 3 | administrative records like that.
- Oh, and the word "Banweb", Banweb is the web interface into the Banner records, so where you would go to register for classes, say.
- The third Oracle database is the pink box, the
 data warehouse. And every day, we take data from OAM and
 from Banner and it's fed to the data warehouse. It's a
 query-only, read-only database, and that's where the
 campus does their reporting from.
- 12 Q And so would this data warehouse be secure?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q And can you explain to me how it's secure?
- 15 A In order to log into it, you need a user
 16 ID/password, and you have to have been granted access to
 17 see certain parts of the data.
- Q Okay. So it wouldn't -- somebody from the street couldn't just log in and change the data for -- at the data warehouse.
- 21 A Correct.
- Q So, as I'm sure you're aware, this case
 involves a controversy over fall 2010 registration. And
 one of the issues is whether the Debtor, Mr. Soballe,
 agreed to PSU's RCAP before he registered for fall 2010.

- 1 | So I'm going to ask you a couple questions about that.
- 2 | So could you please turn to Exhibits C and D? And you
- 3 | can take as long as you need.
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 | Q Do you recognize these documents?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And -- and what are they?
- 8 A So, Exhibit C is the Banweb page that you would
- 9 go to. So first you would log in with your user
- 10 | ID/password.
- And then once per term, you would be presented
- 12 | with this page. And you would have to click "accept".
- 13 | So the word "accept" is in the middle on the bottom.
- 14 | It's a -- it's a button. You click "accept", and then
- 15 | you would be navigated to the registration page, where
- 16 | you could register for classes.
- 17 Q Okay, great. So would a student have to click
- 18 | "accept" before being allowed to navigate to the
- 19 registration page for each term?
- 20 A Correct.
- 21 | Q Okay. And can you just read for me -- well,
- 22 | first let's look at the top where it says "here", it's in
- 23 | blue.
- 24 A Mm hm.
- 25 | Q What -- why is that in blue?

Shari Powell - D

- A So that's a hyperlink, and you click on it, and it presents to you Exhibit D.
- 3 Q Okay.
- 4 A Which is the Revolving Charge Account
- 5 Agreement.
- 6 Q Okay.
- 7 THE COURT: Wait, wait. What are you
- 8 | looking at?
- 9 THE WITNESS: So on Exhibit C --
- 10 THE COURT: Yeah.
- 11 THE WITNESS: -- that first sentence under the
- 12 green line, there's a "click here for the details".
- 13 THE COURT: Oh, all right.
- 14 THE WITNESS: The "here" is in blue.
- 15 THE COURT: All right, yeah. Yeah. I just --
- 16 THE WITNESS: Okay, so you click there.
- 17 | THE COURT: -- I didn't see it, so okay.
- 18 Q (by Ms. Sinnott) And then --
- 19 A And -- mm hm.
- 20 | Q Oh, I'm sorry.
- 21 A No, I'm done.
- 22 And can you just read for me under the "I
- 23 | accept PSU terms and conditions," the first bullet there?
- 24 A You're on Exhibit C?
- 25 Q Yes.

- 1 A So, item one?
- $2 \mid Q \mid Mm \mid hm$.
- A "The following page outlines the terms and conditions currently applicable to this agreement.
- 5 "These terms and conditions can also be found 6 in OAR 577-072-0020."
- 7 Q Okay. And then can you also read number four?
- A "All debts incurred pursuant to the Revolving
 Charge Account Plan are for the purpose of furthering my
 education at Portland State University where I am
 enrolled in classes and/or approved for enrollment."
- 12 Q Thank you. Can you please turn to Exhibit B?
- 13 Do you recognize this document?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And can you just explain generally what this
- 16 is?
- A So, this is the audit trail from the OAM
- 18 | system. So that was the blue box in this picture, Odin
- 19 Account Manager. And these records, this is the student
- 20 | ID, PSU ID, of Mr. Soballe.
- 21 Q Okay. And did you access this record through
- 22 | the data warehouse?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q And so if you look down at the second line -and actually, let's just explain for the Court, so where

- 1 | it says "term", can you explain what these numbers mean?
- $2 \mid \text{So } 2010-03 \text{ and then } 2010-04?$
- 3 A You're on another exhibit.
- 4 THE COURT: What exhibit are you on?
- 5 MS. SINNOTT: On Exhibit B.
- 6 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 7 MS. SINNOTT: Right next to the ID numbers.
- 8 THE COURT: Okay.
- 9 MR. FULLER: Exhibit B?
- 10 THE WITNESS: So sorry, I thought we were on A.
- 11 | We're on B?
- 12 THE COURT: No, you did ask her about A.
- MS. SINNOTT: Oh, I'm sorry, B.
- 14 THE WITNESS: B, okay.
- MS. SINNOTT: B, I must not have --
- 16 THE WITNESS: Oh, so I misunderstood.
- MS. SINNOTT: Yes.
- 18 A B. So, okay, I was describing A.
- 19 B is the registration data for Mr. Soballe.
- 20 Q (by Ms. Sinnott) Okay. And it looks like we
- 21 | have the same ID number there, so that's Mr. Soballe's ID
- 22 | number?
- 23 A Correct.
- 24 Q Okay. So now I'll ask, the term?
- 25 A Yes, the term.

- Q Okay, can you just sort of explain, what are these numbers, 2010-03 and 2010-04?
- 3 A So, the first four numbers are the year, and
- 4 | then 03 is summer. So 01 is winter, 02 is spring, 03 is
- 5 | summer, 04 is fall.
- 6 Q Okay. So, on the second line there, would that
- 7 | have been fall 2010?
- 8 A Correct.
- 9 Q Okay, great. And so it looks like here,
- 10 registration date is July 3 -- the first one is July
- 11 | 30th, 2010? Do you see that?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 | Q Would Mr. Soballe have been required to "click"
- 14 | -- "click the box" on Exhibit C before being allowed to
- 15 | register on July 30th?
- 16 A Yes, because that's the first time that term
- 17 | was -- the term above is from the prior term, so.
- 18 Q Okay.
- 19 A Mm hm.
- 20 Q And just because we were talking about Exhibit
- 21 | E before, I want to make sure we are all on the same page
- 22 about where this document came from.
- 23 So, can you describe, did -- where did this
- 24 | document come from?
- 25 A So, I log into the Oracle database, I use SQL,

- 1 it's the Structured Query Language, it's how programmers
- 2 | query Oracle database.
- 3 Q Okay.
- 4 A And that's how I got it.
- 5 Q So now we're going to go to Exhibit E.
- 6 A Okay.
- 7 | Q And let's just start from the beginning, even
- 8 | though you already answered these questions. Do you
- 9 recognize this document?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 O And what is it?
- 12 A So this is the audit trail from OAM, and OAM is
- 13 the blue box on this picture, Odin Account Manager.
- 14 Q Okay.
- 15 A And the audit trail for Mr. Soballe.
- 16 Q Okay. So it looks like there are a bunch of
- 17 | event dates there. And I'm most concerned with this
- 18 | event date on July 22nd, 2010, do you see that line?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 O And it looks like -- does that -- this is
- 21 | pretty obvious, but I want to make sure we all
- 22 understand, does that -- did Mr. Soballe change his
- 23 | password on that date?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q Okay. And what would Mr. Soballe have been

- 1 required to do on that date before he could change his
 2 password?
- A So, anytime you change your password in OAM,
 you're required to agree to three University policies.
- 5 One is the Appropriate Use Policy, one is the Electronic
- 6 | Communications Policy, and the third one is RCAP, the
- 7 | Revolving Charge Account Policy.
- Q Okay. So it looks like Mr. Soballe then would have agreed again to the RCAP on July 22nd, 2010, is that correct?
- 11 A Correct.

19

20

21

22

- Q One of the issues that the Debtor has raised during the course of this proceeding is that a 2005 agreement that was produced to him had two different formats, two different versions.
- Do you have any idea as to why they would have been produced in two different versions -- or two different formats?
 - A I believe one of those documents came out of the data warehouse, the pink box on this sheet. And so the person who wrote the report chose a particular font, and -- and so that's what was displayed on the report.
- But in the end of the day, it's all zeros and ones, it's the data that matters, and the font is merely part of the presentation.

	Shari Powell - D
1	Q Thank you.
2	MS. SINNOTT: And I have no further questions.
3	MR. JONES: Your Honor, at the risk of trying
4	the Court's patience, because Debtor was unable to depose
5	Ms. Powell, we would ask for maybe a five minute recess
6	so we can prepare cross questions?
7	THE COURT: I'm okay with that. Just so you
8	know, they're testing the air in the courtroom at noon,
9	so whatever however long we go, we do have to break at
10	noon.
11	MR. JONES: Okay. And if I could, Your Honor,
12	too, I actually have an adjourned confirmation hearing at
13	1:30 that I can if if it goes that long, I can pop
14	up to Judge McKittrick's room for for five minutes.
15	THE COURT: Down to Judge McKittrick's, but yes
16	
17	MR. JONES: Down.
18	THE COURT: that's fine. All right, we'll
19	take a five minute recess.
20	MS. SINNOTT: Thank you.
21	(Recess)
22	THE CLERK: Please rise. Court is once again
23	in session, the Honorable Trish M. Brown presiding.
24	Please be seated.
25	//

Shari Powell - X

1	MS. SINNOTT: Your Honor, I don't want to
2	interrupt the cross exam, but I did realize that I
3	neglected to ask if we could admit those exhibits into
4	evidence.
5	So can I now ask the Court?
6	THE COURT: B, C, D
7	MS. SINNOTT: B, C, D, E.
8	THE COURT: Well, B is already in.
9	MR. JONES: No objection.
10	THE COURT: All right. B
11	MS. SINNOTT: Thank you.
12	THE COURT: B, C, D and E are admitted.
13	(Creditor PSU's exhibits B, C, D and E were
14	then received into evidence)
15	
16	CROSS EXAMINATION
17	BY MR. JONES:
18	Q Hi, Ms. Powell. Just a few questions for you
19	here. I'm going to refer you back to PSU Exhibit E there
20	in that binder.
21	And Ms. Powell, it's your testimony that on all
22	these dates that are listed here in Exhibit actually,
23	if I said D, I meant Exhibit E all these dates that
24	are in Exhibit E then, Mr. Soballe would have went online
25	to the to the Banner system clicked on a how and

- 1 | then agreed to an RCAP with PSU, is that correct?
- 2 A On the 2010 and forward, yes.
- 3 Q So it's your testimony that as late as October
- 4 | 21st, 2015, that Mr. Soballe was agreeing to a RCAP with
- 5 | PSU?
- 6 A Correct.
- 7 Q Are you aware that this was many years after
- 8 Mr. Soballe had attended PSU and registered for classes?
- 9 A According to the registration data, yes.
- 10 Q Do you know approximately when the last time
- 11 Mr. Soballe attended classes or registered for classes at
- 12 PSU?
- 13 A Registered, we'd have to look at Exhibit B, I
- 14 | believe.
- 15 | Q Mm hm.
- 16 A Whether he attends classes or not, that's not
- 17 | for me to say.
- 18 Q Okay, whether he registered for classes, the
- 19 | last time he registered for classes. And we can look at
- 20 | Exhibit B, if you'd like.
- 21 A Yes, so one can log into Banweb and look at
- 22 transcripts, do other things. It's not just register for
- 23 | classes that you would log into Banweb.
- 24 | Q Right. But is it your testimony today that, as
- 25 late as October 21st, 2015, one of the things that Mr.

Shari Powell - X

- 1 Soballe did when he went online was clicked on a box and
- 2 | agreed to RCAP with PSU?
- 3 A Correct.
- 4 Q In 2015.
- 5 THE COURT: Well, while you're on this, what
- 6 does "AUP accepted" mean?
- 7 THE WITNESS: So, that was the part where you
- 8 | have to agree to three University policies. The first
- 9 one is the Appropriate Use Policy, the Electronic
- 10 | Communications Policy, which is now -- in 2010 it was
- 11 | called the Electronic Communications Policy, it's now
- 12 | called the Email Communications Policy. And then the
- 13 | third one is RCAP.
- 14 The event code we call AUP, but it includes all
- 15 | three of those agreements. It's just the text name for
- 16 having clicked the box.
- 17 THE COURT: Okay.
- 18 Q (by Mr. Jones) Ms. Powell, do you have any
- 19 | personal knowledge that Mr. Soballe reviewed the
- 20 | agreement in Exhibit A or B?
- 21 A Exhibit B is the registration data.
- 22 Q Exhibit -- Exhibit A, then.
- 23 | A Yes, so --
- 24 Q Actually, Exhibit --
- 25 A -- so if you're asking why it says "password

- 1 change" versus "AUP accepted," is that the question on
- 2 Exhibit E? I can explain that.
- 3 Q No, but you can -- you can explain that if 4 you'd like.
- A So, when we had the Lighthouse system, which you see the resource name is Lighthouse, every time you changed your password, you had to agree to all three policies. And then we decided, well, that was a lot of agreeing to have to do every time you read -- read the policies. So then we went to OAM 2.0, we switched
- systems. And then just every six months you had to agree.
- So that was the difference there.
- Q Okay. So -- so I -- my question was -- and thank you for that clarification -- was, do you have any personal knowledge that Mr. Soballe viewed any version of an RCAP?
- 18 A So, in other words, did he click the "here" --
- 19 Q The --
- 20 A -- hyperlink? Did he click the "here"
- 21 hyperlink? Is that the question?
- 22 Q The question is, do you have any personal
- 23 knowledge that Mr. Soballe viewed any version of the
- 24 | RCAP?
- 25 A Other than the audit trail in Exhibit E, no.

1	Q And if I could ask you again, on the on the					
2	audit trail, can you tell me well, I think we covered					
3	that.					
4	So, the audit trail, just just to make it					
5	clear, is just all those dates and every time that Mr.					
6	Soballe would have allegedly went online and clicked on					
7	the box, correct? Correct?					
8	A He clicked "accept", yes.					
9	THE COURT: So, let me ask you this. When I					
10	I'm trying to understand what happens.					
11	When I, for example, go into something and you					
12	like iTunes, for example, right? And I get into					
13	iTunes, and at some point, you have to they it pops					
14	up and you have to say "I accept", and you have to say					
15	you've read it, but of course nobody reads it. It is					
16	is that does the document pop up? Or you just say					
17	"accept"?					
18	THE WITNESS: So what pops up, what popped up					
19	in 2010 was Exhibit B. And then you had to click the					
20	"here" to to read the details.					
21	THE COURT: Exhibit B?					
22	MS. SINNOTT: Exhibit C.					
23	THE WITNESS: C, sorry, C.					
24	THE COURT: So so this pops up.					
25	THE WITNESS: Yes.					

Shari Powell - X

1	THE COURT: And you have to accept.
2	THE WITNESS: Correct.
3	THE COURT: And then something else also pops
4	up, right, that's not relevant to this discussion? The -
5	- the something else. You said there was three things
6	you had to agree to. Do three different boxes pop up and
7	you have to accept?
8	THE WITNESS: Three hyperlinks are presented,
9	and then you can click on them to read the details.
10	THE COURT: But but this particular thing,
11	C, would have popped up, the whole thing would have
12	popped up?
13	THE WITNESS: Just C pops up, and you have to
14	click "here" to get to Exhibit D.
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	Q (by Mr. Jones) So, Ms. Powell, I guess
17	continuing on with this this line of questioning.
18	So, if we look at Exhibit C, as you just
19	testified, this is what pops up. Do you is there any
20	documents or do you have any personal knowledge that Mr.
21	Soballe would have clicked "here" and viewed the RCAP?
22	A No, I think it's his responsibility to click
23	"here".
24	Q And I'm going to flip back to Exhibit D. So
25	Evhibit D is what a student like Mr. Soballe would have

- 1 | seen had they clicked on the hyperlink that said "click
- 2 | here", right?
- 3 A Correct.
- 4 Q Can you pan down just to the -- to the bottom
- 5 of the page there on Exhibit B, page 1?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Do you see where it says "Return to" and then
- 8 | has Portland State University's --
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q -- address? So if one were to actually click
- 11 on the box and look at this blank agreement here, they
- 12 | would also see that it said "Return to Portland State
- 13 University," correct?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Do you know if a completed RCAP was ever
- 16 returned to Portland State University at that mailing
- 17 | address?
- 18 A The only time you would fill this out is if you
- 19 | were standing at the window and you bypass Banweb. In
- 20 | other words, if you were trying to bypass clicking on
- 21 | "accept" and agreeing electronically, they'd catch you at
- 22 | the window and you'd have to then sign in wet ink.
- 23 | Q But to be clear, this is the exact copy of what
- 24 | a student like Mr. Soballe would see if they click on the
- 25 | box. Correct?

- 1 A That there's -- yeah, there's two pages.
- 2 | Q Yeah.
- 3 A Yeah.
- 4 Q And that -- that agreement would be identical
- 5 | in the sense it would say "Return to Portland State
- 6 University Business Affairs, BOAR, PO Box 202, Portland,
- 7 Oregon 97207", correct?
- 8 A It says -- okay, what it says above there is,
- 9 \"You may revoke your consent for the use of your SSN by
- 10 | writing to," that's the writing to part.
- 11 Q Just that -- I'm just referring to where it
- 12 | says "Return to".
- A Mm hm.
- 14 Q And in red, in bold and bigger letters at the
- 15 | bottom.
- 16 | A Yes.
- 17 Q Okay. So if we could -- we have here Exhibit
- 18 | E, and if you'd sort of flip back to Exhibit A. So on
- 19 | Exhibit A we have what appears to be an e-signed RCAP.
- 20 | Correct?
- 21 A Correct.
- 22 Q So this is not what Mr. Soballe or a student
- 23 | like Mr. Soballe would see when they clicked on the box,
- 24 | it would be a blank RCAP, correct?
- 25 A Correct.

- 1 Q Each time, even as late as 2015, you'd be 2 clicking and you'd see a blank agreement. Not --
- 3 A Correct.
- 4 Q -- a completed RCAP.

viewing the completed RCAP?

- 5 A That's how electronic signatures work.
- Q Well, thank you. Well, you say that's how
 electronic signatures work, but if there was a completed
 RCAP in Exhibit A, is there any reason that that wouldn't
 be attached to online, that a student would actually be
- A No. No, this Exhibit A was pulled out of the data warehouse, the pink box. And that's how we were talking about, you know, the font was chosen by the report writer.
- Q Mm hm. So I'm now going to refer you to, and the Court and opposing counsel, to Debtor's Exhibit 16.

 I'll give you some time to find that in the binder, and
- 19 A Okay.

10

18

20 Q Give me one second, please.

then just let me know when you're there.

- 21 (Pause)
- Q And I think if we could kind of do this in
 tandem, I -- I'll have you also look at Plaintiff's -- or
 Debtor's, sorry, Exhibit 1. And so we'll be kind of
 flipping back from Exhibit 1 and Exhibit 16. And we'll --

- 1 we'll start out with Exhibit 1, Ms. Powell. And we're
- 2 | specifically going to be looking at pages -- several
- 3 | pages in Exhibit 1. And we're going to be going to what
- 4 looks to be an actual, the RCAP. See that?
- 5 A Exhibit 1?
- 6 Q Yeah, the RCAP in Exhibit 1.
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And we'll take a look at page 1 of that RCAP in
- 9 | Exhibit 1, and then we'll flip to page 1 of Exhibit 16.
- 10 | You talked about the different fonts that may vary
- 11 between versions of an RCAP. Can you explain any other
- 12 discrepancies that there is between Exhibit 16 and
- 13 | Exhibit 1, the RCAPs in both of those?
- 14 A You want me to review it right now, you mean?
- 15 Q If you can.
- 16 A Can I take out one piece of paper out of the
- 17 | binder and so I can look at them side-by-side?
- 18 Q Sure. Maybe as long as you put them back
- 19 | afterwards.
- 20 (Pause)
- 21 THE COURT: Is there a difference in the
- 22 | wording?
- 23 MR. JONES: Your Honor, I think -- if I
- 24 | understand correctly, when we -- before we did openings,
- 25 | what you're concerned about is possibly any what you call

- substantive difference between the agreements in the material terms and conditions.
- THE COURT: Right.

signature was generated.

12

13

14

15

16

17

- MR. JONES: Right? Debtor's argument would be that it's PSU's burden here to show that there was a formation of an agreement, that Mr. Soballe assented to the agreement. They are producing one e-signed document from 2005, although they're now saying that he entered into an RCAP, or this RCAP, or multiple RCAPs throughout his time at PSU, but they're only producing one from 2005 that shows that he clicked on this box and his e-
 - I think that the differences, whether substantive or, you know, different formats, or different words, or different language, goes to whether this actual agreement was assented to. Goes to its authenticity. If there's different wording, if there's different language, there's different formats, there's different dates.
- THE COURT: Is -- I am asking you, is there any difference in the language between Exhibit 1 and Exhibit 1 16?
- MR. JONES: There's --
- 23 THE COURT: I see there's like his address is 24 in one and it's not in the other one, I see that the 25 footer is different. What I want to know is, is the

- 1 | language in the agreement any different?
- 2 MR. JONES: The language regarding the -- if I
- 3 | testify to that, the -- the language regarding the terms
- 4 | and conditions is the same.
- 5 THE COURT: Well --
- 6 MR. JONES: But there are substantive
- 7 difference in the versions.
- 8 THE COURT: -- so it sounds like you're asking
- 9 her to compare these two agreements, right?
- MR. JONES: Yes.
- 11 THE COURT: If there are differences, ask her,
- 12 | "Isn't this different?"
- MR. JONES: I can do that. I will.
- 14 THE COURT: Really? I mean, this isn't
- 15 | supposed to be a "gotcha". Right? Ask her.
- MR. JONES: Okay.
- 17 Q (by Mr. Jones) Ms. Powell, is the form -- date
- 18 | format different between Exhibit 1 and Exhibit 16?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 | Q Is there a missing digit between -- in the time
- 21 of acceptance between Exhibit 1 and Exhibit 16?
- 22 A Hm, there is.
- Q In the bottom in the footer, is the -- is the
- 24 | footer, where it says "Portland State University", is
- 25 | that different between 1 and 16?

- 1 A Yes. The -- the date, you mean?
- 2 No, the -- the footer, the actual footer where
- 3 | it says "Portland State University, Accounts Receivable,
- 4 | RCAP", one has a date --
- 5 A Right.
- 7 A The date, mm hm.
- 8 Q If we look at the top of Exhibit 16, where it
- 9 | says "Revolving Charge Account Agreement" and then
- $10 \mid$ "Portland State University" above that, if we look at
- 11 | Exhibit 1 --
- 12 A That's the same.
- 13 Q That is the same. There's a different address
- 14 on one of the other versions, but.
- 15 So explain the differences in these two
- 16 | agreements, then.
- 17 A So, one of them was generated out of the pink
- 18 box, the data warehouse. And so the person who wrote the
- 19 | report, apparently, I don't know which one is which,
- 20 though. The A, I believe, is the one -- or 1, sorry,
- 21 | we're on numbers not letters now.
- One and A are the same, is that true, between
- 23 | these two folders? Or --
- 24 | 0 I don't believe so.
- 25 A No. Okay.

Shari Powell - X

1 THE COURT: I think they're the same document. 2 Look at the number at the bottom. 3 (by Mr. Jones) Is -- is 1 and A that we're 4 dealing with, was that your question, Ms. Powell? 5 THE COURT: I think it's 16 --6 Α 1 and 16, or no. 7 Q Right. 8 THE COURT: -- 16 and --9 THE WITNESS: 1. 10 THE COURT: -- A are the same. 11 THE WITNESS: Okay. 12 MR. JONES: 16 an A. 13 THE COURT: 16 and A have the same PSU 14 numbering, right? PSUNIV2000036. MR. JONES: Right. 15 THE COURT: And the difference between A and 16 16 17 is that PSU has added "Exhibit A, page 1 of 2" on it, 18 That's the difference between -- right? right? 19 MR. JONES: Between the two versions of the --20 THE COURT: Between A and 16. 21 MR. JONES: I believe that's correct. 22 My confusion initially was that the RCAP that 23 was produced in Mrs. Looney's declaration is -- was 24 different, so I had to refer back to Exhibit 1 of

Plaintiff's, because that's what was produced in their

- 1 | declaration.
- THE COURT: Well, that's fine. 1 -- but the
- 3 | difference between your 16 and PSU's A --
- 4 MR. JONES: Mm hm.
- 5 THE COURT: -- is only the identifier that was
- 6 | put on by counsel.
- 7 MR. JONES: Correct.
- 8 THE COURT: Correct? So A and 16 are the same
- 9 document.
- 10 So now you're asking about differences between
- 11 | 1 and 16, right?
- MR. JONES: Correct.
- THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. JONES: Thank you.
- 15 Q (by Mr. Jones) So again, I'll repeat my
- 16 question.
- 17 | Would you describe to me why in this retrieval
- 18 of the versions of the RCAP from the data warehouse, why
- 19 | these differences or discrepancies would occur in these
- 20 different versions of the RCAP?
- 21 A Oh, I believe it's A and 16 came from the data
- 22 | warehouse. And then where did 1 come from?
- 23 Q I don't know.
- 24 A You -- you provided it, though.
- Q Well, if we look at 1, you see the numbers

- 1 | below, it was -- indicates that it was provided by PSU.
- 2 | And that's my question.
- 3 Is -- is how those difference --
- THE COURT: If you don't know the answer, you
- 5 | don't know the answer.
- 6 A Yeah, I mean, it's just we decided fonts and
- 7 | formats. Again, though, the data is the same, and the
- 8 | content's the same.
- 9 Q So --
- 10 THE COURT: Well, the data isn't exactly the
- 11 | same. There's a partial Social Security number on 1, and
- 12 | there isn't that on 16. The footer is different.
- 13 There's an address in 1, and that isn't in 16.
- MR. JONES: And the date.
- 15 | O (by Mr. Jones) So --
- 16 THE COURT: The date is the same.
- 17 A So --
- 18 THE COURT: It's just a different time.
- 19 A Yeah.
- 20 | Q Well --
- 21 A We used to use Social Security number before it
- 22 was a secret PII number. And then we stopped using that,
- 23 | and started using accounts.
- Q So, it appears then that this data that's
- 25 entered into, or at least the -- the identifiers in this

- 1 form can be manipulated. We have two different versions. 2 So in some way or another, what comes out of -- out of 3 the system is data that can be manipulated and create 4 some discrepancies in the different RCAPs, correct? 5 Α But we don't know where 1 came from, do we? 6 Well, I think if we look at the -- the bottom, 7 we can see that it was produced by PSU. 8 Α I mean, I don't know if it came out of the data 9 warehouse, though. 10 Well, I -- I don't know where it came from. 11 MS. SINNOTT: Your Honor, we will have a 12 witness to testify as to where these two versions came 13 from. 14 THE COURT: Okay. MS. SINNOTT: It's not Ms. Powell. I don't 15 16 know if that might help. 17 MR. JONES: No further questions. Thank you. 18 MS. SINNOTT: Can -- can I just ask a couple of 19 follow-up questions? 20 21 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 22 BY MS. SINNOTT: 23 Thank you, Ms. Powell.
 - Robyn M. Anderson, Franscriber (503) 618-9938 Case 11-40345-tmb7 Doc 50 Filed 11/10/16

clear on how Mr. Soballe would have agreed to the RCAP in

So I just want to make sure that everyone is

24

- 1 2010.
- 2 So, you testified earlier that when he changed
- 3 his password, and that would have been through the OAM,
- 4 | and I actually don't have one of the pages in front of
- 5 | me, but that's -- the OAM was what box? Can you just
- 6 | hold it up so I can see it? I gave away all my copies.
- 7 A Oh, the blue box.
- 8 | Q Yeah, so --
- 9 THE COURT: Blue.
- 10 | Q -- so when -- when Mr. Soballe went into OAM to
- 11 | change his password, was it your testimony that he would
- 12 | have had to accept the three policies, including the
- 13 RCAP, before he could change his password?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 O Okay. And that OAM data is reflected in
- 16 | Exhibit E, correct?
- 17 | A Yes.
- 18 Q Additionally, before he could register in
- 19 | Banner, which is the other box, he would have had to
- 20 click the terms and conditions in Exhibit C. He would
- 21 | have had to have clicked "accept" on the terms and
- 22 | conditions in Exhibit C, is that correct?
- 23 A Correct.
- Q Okay. Then both of these sets of data is swept
- 25 into the data warehouse, where in that data warehouse, it

- 1 | is read-only, is that correct?
- 2 A Correct.
- 3 Q And did you retrieve the audit trails for both
- 4 | the OAM password change and the Banweb acceptance of
- 5 | terms and conditions -- oh, actually we -- yeah, the
- 6 | password -- no, wait, sorry.
- 7 Did you get the -- the data from the OAM
- 8 | password change from the data warehouse?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Okay.
- 11 MS. SINNOTT: That's all I have. I hope that
- 12 | helped clarify.
- 13 THE COURT: It did.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Well, I did have one idea. I
- 15 | don't know if I should say, but --
- THE COURT: Yeah, no, don't guess.
- 17 THE WITNESS: Okay, I was going to guess, yeah.
- THE COURT: Yeah, don't --
- 19 (Laughing)
- 20 MS. SINNOTT: No further questions.
- 21 (Laughing)
- 22 THE COURT: Don't -- yeah, you don't answer
- 23 | questions if nobody's asking you a question.
- 24 May this witness be excused? You can stay if
- 25 | you want, but --

	Nicole DuPont - D
1	MS. SINNOTT: I am fine with that.
2	MR. JONES: Yes.
3	THE COURT: You're you're not required to.
4	THE WITNESS: Okay.
5	MS. SINNOTT: Your Honor, I would like to call
6	Nicolle DuPont.
7	THE COURT: Okay.
8	
9	NICOLLE DUPONT
10	called as a witness by the Creditor PSU, having being
11	first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
12	THE COURT: Please be seated. State your full
13	name and spell your last name for the record.
14	THE WITNESS: Nicolle DuPont, D-u-P-o-n-t.
15	THE COURT: All right.
16	MS. SINNOTT: Thank you, Ms. DuPont. And can
17	you just alert the Court as to a hearing issue that you
18	might have?
19	THE WITNESS: I can't hear out of my right ear.
20	MS. SINNOTT Okay, so we'll make sure that if
21	you when we ask a question, if you can't hear it,
22	please let us know.
23	THE WITNESS: I will.
24	MS. SINNOTT: Thank you.

Robyn M. Anderson, Franscriber (503) 618-9938

THE COURT: And we also have, maybe --

- THE CLERK: I'm not sure those are going to help.
- THE COURT: Okay. All right.
- 4 MS. SINNOTT: Just -- just let us --
- 5 THE WITNESS: I should be fine.
- 6 MS. SINNOTT: We'll -- we'll try to speak --
- 7 THE WITNESS: I'll turn.
- 8 MS. SINNOTT: Okay, great, thank you.

9 DIRECT EXAMINATION

- 10 BY MS. SINNOTT:
- 11 Q Ms. DuPont, can you tell me where do you work?
- 12 A I work at Portland State University.
- Q And what is your title at Portland State
- 14 University?
- 15 A I'm Associate Registrar for Registration,
- 16 | Records and Operations.
- 17 | Q And what are your responsibilities as Associate
- 18 | Registrar?
- 19 A I primarily oversee the procedures surrounding
- 20 registration, recordkeeping, and the front line service
- 21 | for the Registrar's Office.
- 22 | Q Can you explain, what does front line service
- $23 \mid \text{mean}?$
- 24 A Sorry, that is the folks who work at the front
- 25 counter, answer the phones and respond to our service

- 1 | email account, registrar@pdx.edu.
- 2 Q Great. And how long have you worked for the
- 3 Office of the Registrar?
- 4 A For 15 years.
- 5 Q So is it safe to say you're familiar with the
- 6 | procedures of the Office of the Registrar?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Can you please turn to Exhibit F?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And just in the interest of time, I'll tell
- 11 | you, it looks like there are four different records in
- 12 | Exhibit F.
- 13 A Yes. Yes.
- 14 THE COURT: Oh, mic is not working.
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Do you recognize these records?
- 17 | A Yes.
- 18 Q And how do you recognize these records?
- 19 A I produced them.
- 20 And can you tell me how you accessed these
- 21 records?
- 22 A Sure. The first page, I went into the Banner
- 23 | Student Information System and did a screenshot.
- 24 The second page, which is the student schedule
- 25 as it appears in Banweb, I went into the web portal and

- 1 did a screenshot of how it would appear if a student or
 2 advisor were to log into the web portal of Banner.
- The next document is a few pages, and it's the academic transcript, which I printed out through the
- 5 | Banner Student Information System.
- And the last page is screenshots of the registration audit trail from the Banner Student Information System.
- 9 Q Thank you. So from this first page, it looks
 10 like at the top here -- actually, I'm sorry, let's go to
 11 the second page.
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q So, can you just describe what specifically 14 this document is?
- This is the document that's a screenshot of 15 Α 16 what would appear in the Banweb Student Information 17 That's the portal that students use to access 18 their own account. They can add and drop classes or 19 courses from Banweb. They can also view their current 20 term registration or a future term registration, if 21 they've already registered. They can view their grades, 22 they can view how much money they owe, they can view 23 their major.
- 24 So this is --
- 25 Q Okay, so --

- 1 A -- what you get if you click on the Student 2 Schedule Detail.
- Q Great. And so does this document show what courses Mr. Soballe registered for in fall 2010?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And does it show when he registered for the 7 classes?
- A Yes. In each chunk, under the course, there's a status field, and it has both the date and the manner in which the student registered. So it shows, for instance on the first line under "Intro to Genetics
- Recitation", that it was registered on the web. So that would mean the student registered through this Banweb
- 14 portal, which requires student ID or OAM information, as
- 15 | well as a password. And it records the date. So he
- 16 registered on August 21st, 2010, for that class.
- Q Okay. Can you please turn to Exhibit H?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And do you recognize this document?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q What is this?
- A This is a photocopy of the Registration Guide
- 23 for the 2010-2011 academic year.
- Q Can you turn to page 18 of 40, it's down there at the bottom?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 And right there on the first column, there's a
- 3 | tuition refund policy?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Oh, I think that -- it just went out for a
- 6 | moment. Along with a refund schedule?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 THE CLERK: Can we stop for a second?
- 9 THE COURT: Hang on a second.
- 10 THE CLERK: If people have cell phones and
- 11 | they're on mute, that's going to cause that problem. So
- 12 | cell phones should be --
- 13 THE COURT: Everybody needs to turn their cell
- 14 | phones off.
- THE CLERK: Completely off.
- 16 THE COURT: Not -- completely off. Right? Not
- 17 | just silenced. Okay.
- 18 Q (by Ms. Sinnott) Okay, so looking at this
- 19 tuition refund policy, can you just read there the refund
- 20 | schedule under fall 2010 for me?
- 21 A Yes. So the fall 2010, it shows the dates in
- 22 | which you can receive a particular type of refund. So
- 23 | for fall of 2010, you could receive 100 percent refund if
- 24 | you dropped your courses on or before October 3rd. You
- 25 | would receive a 70 percent refund from October 4th

1	through	the	10th,	a 40	per	cent re	fund Oct	ober 11tl	Ω
2	through	the	17th,	and a	a 20	percent	refund	October	18th
3	through	the	24th.						

- Q And are there other ways that students could obtain a refund after missing any of those deadlines?
- A Sure. If a student does not take appropriate actions to drop or withdraw from a course during the scheduled refund periods, we have a petition called the Deadline Appeal Petition that a student can submit during the term and explain why they missed one of the deadlines, and they can ask for a drop or a withdraw, and they can ask for a refund. And that would be available to them during the rest of the fall quarter.

If a student does not do that, they still have another chance to use a Past Term Petition to explain why they missed the deadlines and why they didn't take care of it during the current term. And we accept those after the term is over.

Q So really, there are two -- what you're saying, there are two different ways you could go. The student could either just, as of course, go in, drop a class during the deadlines listed in this Registration Guide --

A Mm hm.

Q -- or, alternatively, if they fail to do that, would they then be able to submit two different petitions

- up to a year later to obtain a retroactive refund?
- 2 A Yes.

1

14

15

- Q If a student is locked out of his or her online 4 account for registration, there's a registration hold on 5 that account, are there other ways that a student can
- 6 drop his or her courses?
- A Yes. A student, if they have some type of a
 hold preventing them from making registration changes on
 Banweb, they can contact the Office of the Registrar. We
 will help them either in person or via email or via fax,
 as long as they can request the drop and provide their
 signature, or via email they can provide their student ID
 number and email us from their PSU email account.
 - Q So, essentially, an online hold does not prevent a student from dropping a class in alternative ways.
- 17 A That's correct.
- 18 Q Do you ever help students drop classes in these 19 ways?
- A All the time. Yeah. Throughout the deadline
 1 throughout the deadline periods, we routinely help

 1 folks do it manually through the Registrar's Office, in

 2 person or remotely.
- Q And the Registration Guide in Exhibit H, is that available -- how -- how would a student have access

- 1 | to that guide?
- 2 A So, in 2010, we were still printing physical
- 3 | copies of the Registration Guide, which were available at
- 4 | the Registrar's Office, at the Bookstore, at the Student
- 5 | Store, or in advising centers.
- 6 And then we also had a PDF of the Registration
- 7 | Guide on our website.
- 8 Q Okay. Do you have any personal knowledge of
- 9 Mr. Soballe contacting the Registrar's Office in the fall
- 10 of 2010 to drop his classes?
- 11 A No, I don't. And I -- I did check to see if we
- 12 | had any email correspondence in our service email
- 13 | account, registrar@pdx.edu, which we archive the
- 14 | conversations, and there -- there was nothing in the
- 15 | email account, either.
- 16 Q So one of -- one of the documents you provided
- 17 | to me during the course of this proceeding, which we
- 18 | produced to the other side, it's not an exhibit, but it
- 19 does -- it did show what the ending registration numbers
- 20 were for each course. Do you recall that document?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q Would that record have shown whether a class
- 23 | was full or not at the beginning of a semester? Or I'm
- 24 | sorry, at the beginning of a term?
- 25 A No. The document -- when we look historically

- 1 | into the course enrollment data, it's going to show how
- 2 | many students were registered when the course ended, and
- 3 | those enrollments were rolled to student history.
- 4 | So we don't have anything in the Banner Student
- 5 | Information System that shows how many people were
- 6 | registered on a particular day, like day one of the term.
- 7 And a lot of students do drop during the first four weeks
- 8 of the term.
- 9 THE CLERK: Cut out?
- 10 THE COURT: It did. Maybe you can have IT come
- 11 | up and look at noon?
- 12 THE CLERK: Mr. -- Mr. Fuller, is your computer
- 13 | set up to -- are you receiving emails during the course
- 14 of this --
- MR. FULLER: No.
- MS. SINNOTT: I'll make sure my WiFi's off,
- 17 | too.
- 18 Q (by Ms. Sinnott) Can you please turn back to
- 19 | Exhibit F?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Go -- go to page 5 of 7, please.
- 22 A Okay.
- 23 | Q So down at the fall 2010 quarter, it looks like
- 24 | there are X's next to those courses?
- 25 A Yes.

1 Q What does an X mean? 2 An X means no basis for grade. 3 Does an X mean -- would it have anything to do 4 with whether a debtor -- or would it conclusively 5 establish whether a debtor attended classes or not -excuse me, whether a student attended classes or not? 6 7 No. An X just means no basis for grade. 8 could be for non-attendance. It could also be for non-9 participation. It could be a student that attended but 10 never turned in any work. 11 So if somebody at PSU were to look at the Q 12 Debtor's transcript here, they would not know whether or 13 not Mr. Soballe attended those three classes in the fall 14 2010 term? That is correct. 15 Α 16 MS. SINNOTT: I have no further questions. 17 18 CROSS EXAMINATION 19 BY MR. JONES: 20 Hi, Ms. DuPont. 21 Α Hello. 22 Just give me one second, if you would. Q 23 THE COURT: And you will need to talk into the 24 microphone when you start asking questions.

MR. JONES: Thank you, Your Honor.

1	MS. SINNOTT: While he's looking, can I just				
2	clarify, we had stipulated to the authenticity of the				
3	exhibits. Do I still need to offer the exhibits I just				
4	referenced, or can we admit them?				
5	THE COURT: I admitted them.				
6	MS. SINNOTT: Okay, great. Thank you.				
7	THE COURT: They can talk about relevance, but				
8	I admitted them.				
9	MS. SINNOTT: Okay.				
10	MR. JONES: On on that note, if I may, Your				
11	Honor, Exhibit Debtor's Exhibit 16, I did not offer				
12	that for admission into evidence. It was really				
13	THE COURT: It came in already.				
14	MR. JONES: Exhibit 16?				
15	MS. SINNOTT: 16.				
16	THE COURT: All of your exhibits came in.				
17	MR. JONES: Oh, that's right. Thank you.				
18	Well, I guess on that note, the purpose of offering that				
19	exhibit was really for impeachment purposes to compare				
20	those two versions. Not that Debtor has any				
21	understanding whether the version in 16 or Exhibit				
22	PSU's Exhibit A or 1 is the authenticated				
23	THE COURT: Yeah, you can talk to me about that				
24	in closing. But it's admitted.				
25	MR. JONES: Thank you.				

- Q (by Mr. Jones) So I think we'll start off with what we've already reviewed here as PSU's Exhibit H, which is the Registration Guide. And opposing counsel has already pointed out a refund schedule on page 18, pertaining to the fall 2010 term.
 - A Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q And just to clarify, "refund" doesn't mean that the -- the student, such as Mr. Soballe, would actually get any money back. It's -- it would just be a reduction in the amount that they owed PSU, correct?
- A Right. The -- if the person had already paid, they would get a refund. If the person had not paid, there would be a refund to the charges. So it would -- the student might owe less.
- 15 Q So a reduction in the charges, right.
- 16 A Yes.
 - Q So I see that this Registration Guide talks about, you know, a percentage of reduction that may happen if the student dropped classes within different periods of time throughout the term. What -- what I don't see, perhaps you could help me, is there any directions about how a student would drop classes in the Registration Guide that you're aware of?
- 24 (Pause)
- 25 Q I mean, I -- I don't expect you to review the

- whole agreement. I think we did cover this in your
 deposition, if you recall.
- 3 A So, in page 10, it has registration basics,
- 4 | when to register, how long the online registration hours
- 5 | are available, the academic calendar, the where to find
- 6 the deadlines.
- 7 Q So again, how to -- this is how to register,
- 8 | what are the deadlines, but no information about how to
- 9 | drop --
- 10 A Dropping is an act --
- 11 Q -- specifically.
- 12 A -- is considered the same as registration, it's
- 13 | all part of managing your courses.
- But no, nothing specifically that calls out
- 15 | that you should do the same thing that you did to add to
- 16 drop.
- 17 Q Right. Or if you added online, and -- and
- 18 | there was a hold on the account, then you wouldn't be
- 19 able to do the same thing, using online to drop.
- 20 A Correct.
- 21 | Q Correct. Now we're going to flip to the --
- 22 | back to the registration, or the course registration
- 23 | information that you reviewed with counsel.
- 24 A Which exhibit?
- 25 Q I think we'll go to -- we'll start with Exhibit

- 1 | F. It's Exhibit F, I think all the registration data.
- 2 A Okay.
- 3 Q And specifically, I guess we're going to look
- 4 | at page -- well, let's start with page 3. And we'll kind
- 5 of take pages 3 through 5 of Exhibit F kind of in unison.
- $6 \mid$ And so these pages 3 to 5 here are -- show the courses
- 7 | that Mr. Soballe registered for in fall 2010, correct?
- 8 A Three through 5 are an academic transcript, so
- 9 | it shows the courses that are part of his academic
- 10 history.
- 11 Q Mm hm. And -- and part of that would be the
- 12 | fall 2010 courses, isn't that correct?
- 13 A Correct.
- 14 O And Mr. Soballe received no credit and/or
- 15 | failing grades for fall 2010, correct?
- 16 A Correct, he did not earn credit.
- 17 Q Is it PSU's policy, at least in 2000 -- the
- 18 | fall of 2010 semester, was that instructors were not
- 19 | required to track attendance at all, is that correct?
- 20 A That's correct, we do not have a mandatory
- 21 attendance policy. We didn't in 2010, and we don't
- 22 | currently.
- 23 | Q Okay. So I'm going to have you look at our
- 24 exhibit list, because I think there's some registration
- 25 | information that may be omitted in this version. And if

- 1 | you give me a second, I'll tell you where to go. Looks
- 2 | like exhibit 2 in that binder.
- 3 A Okay.
- 4 THE COURT: Oh, we've got to get that fixed.
- 5 THE CLERK: Didn't hear it that time.
- 6 THE WITNESS: Could it be my hearing aid?
- 7 THE CLERK: Possible.
- 8 THE WITNESS: I can take it out.
- 9 THE COURT: No-no-no, that's fine. But knowing
- 10 | that that's -- might be an issue, that's helpful. So
- 11 | that's fine.
- 12 Q (by Mr. Jones) So we're going to flip through
- 13 | the page in Exhibit B, I think it's helpful if you kind
- 14 of look at the -- the PSUUNIV number that's at the
- 15 | bottom, because these pages aren't specifically marked.
- 16 | You'll keep flipping through, and it will be PSUUNIV2-a
- 17 | bunch-of-zeros-ending-in-a-1, at the top of that page I
- 18 | want you to flip to, it also says "21 Course Enrollment
- 19 | Data".
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 | Q Are you on there?
- THE COURT: No. Mine starts at 3.
- MR. JONES: Yeah, it does start at 3, because
- 24 | it made sense to put them that way for the deposition,
- 25 | but --

- 1 THE COURT: Okay, all right. Thank you.
- MR. JONES: Yeah, it's like the eighth page, I
- 3 believe.
- 4 THE COURT: Yeah, I got it.
- 5 Q (by Mr. Jones) Okay, Ms. DuPont. So it is
- 6 | true that at least at the end of fall 2010, that the
- 7 | courses that Mr. Soballe registered for were not fully
- 8 attended. There were open seats in each one of those
- 9 classes, correct? And that's what this --
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q -- image shows. And it's true that for these
- 12 classes that Mr. Soballe registered for for fall 2010,
- 13 | that no tracking of attendance was required by the
- 14 | instructors of those courses, correct?
- 15 A Correct.
- 16 Q So there's no way to -- for PSU to know whether
- 17 | Mr. Soballe actually attended the classes or not?
- 18 A Correct.
- 19 Q Okay, I think we're going to stick with this
- 20 | binder, and we're going to flip to Exhibit 14, if you
- 21 | would.
- 22 A I don't have a 14. I go from --
- 23 | THE COURT: 5 to 16 --
- 24 A -- 2 to 3 to 5 to 16.
- 25 THE COURT: Which is what I was asking about

1 | earlier.

8

9

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

MR. JONES: All right, let me check our -- do

3 | you have a copy of our exhibit list? It's a declaration.

What I'm looking for is your declaration that
you filed in this case, actually. We did not list that
on our exhibit list. It's -- it's actually Ms. DuPont's

7 declaration that was already filed in this matter.

THE COURT: Why don't you just ask her a question about it? It doesn't have to be an exhibit.

MR. JONES: Okay. Well, there are some documents that are attached to the declaration, and that's --

THE COURT: Well, that's a problem.

MR. JONES: Yeah.

THE COURT: You can ask her questions, though.

MR. JONES: All right. So --

17 THE COURT: What's the --

Q (by Mr. Jones) What I'm -- what I'm asking questions about, there's -- there's -- if you can recall, there's a declaration that you filed in this -- in this case, and there was two documents attached to it, and you've already talked about those two documents. One was called a Special Registration Form.

24 A Yes.

Q And one is called a Deadline Appeals Petition.

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Deadline Appeals Board Petition. Those are the
- 3 | questions I'm going to ask about these documents.
- 4 A Okay.
- 5 THE COURT: Can you tell me what document
- 6 | you're talking about?
- 7 MR. JONES: So, it's --
- 8 THE COURT: Document 24?
- 9 MR. JONES: -- it's Exhibit --
- 10 MR. FULLER: 24.
- MR. JONES: It's, yeah.
- 12 THE COURT: Document 24, okay.
- 13 THE WITNESS: Am I supposed to be able to see
- 14 | this?
- THE COURT: No, you don't have it.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 17 THE COURT: He's just going to ask you
- 18 | questions about it, and --
- 19 THE WITNESS: I'm familiar with what those are.
- 20 THE COURT: Okay.
- 21 Q (by Mr. Jones) Okay. And -- and if I can,
- 22 I'll ask the Court's permission, if we need to, I can --
- 23 | I can walk up there and -- and actually --
- 24 A I know what the documents look like. It's
- $25 \mid \text{fine.}$

- 1 Q Okay. So specifically we're talking about 2 Exhibit 1, the Special Registration Form.
- And at the -- at the top of that form, maybe I
- 4 | can just read from this and you can verify that's what
- $5 \mid$ the form says.
- 6 A Sure.
- 7 Q It says, "Complete this form and bring it in
- 8 person to the Admissions, Registration and Records
- 9 | windows in the Neuberger Hall lobby after any required
- 10 | approvals." Is that correct?
- 11 | A I believe you.
- 12 Q So if a student were to get one of these
- 13 | Special Registration Forms and they were to read it, then
- 14 | it would appear that the only way that they would be able
- 15 | to submit it, because the only way mentioned is to submit
- 16 | it in person, correct?
- 17 A I believe that also has our contact information
- 18 on it, too, though. I'm not sure, at the bottom, if you
- 19 can see what year that document, if that was the actual
- 20 document that was in place in 2010 or not.
- 21 Q It says October of 2008.
- 22 A Okay. Then that was probably the one that was
- 23 | being used in 2010.
- 24 Q And I don't see any contact information, at
- 25 | least on the one that's submitted.

- 1 A Okay.
- 2 Q And now I'm going to ask a few questions about 3 the Deadline Appeals Board Petition that you spoke of.
 - A Sure.

4

- 5 Q And on this Deadline Appeals Board Petition, in 6 section 2 labeled "Instructor Statement", and it says, 7 "An instructor statement, signature and date are 8 required," and "required" is bolded, "for the Committee 9 to consider the petition. You may attach an email 10 statement from the instructor." And it has two little 11 arrows below that. "The instructor statement must be written after the student's explanation." And then 12 second arrow, "To drop a class never attended and to 13 14 receive a refund, the instructor must verify the student's non-attendance statement." 15
- 16 A Correct.
- Q Okay. So you've already testified that tracking attendance was not a requirement for the instructors in any course.
- 20 A Correct.

21

22

23

24

25

Q So if the instructors in the 2010 fall classes that Mr. Soballe registered for did not track attendance, it may be impossible for him to have -- or a student in his position to have gotten a written statement from the instructor that says is required in bold to even consider

1 the petition. They wouldn't be able to necessarily 2 verify their non-attendance if there was no attendance 3 taken. 4 Just because we don't require instructors to 5 take attendance and track it centrally, doesn't mean that 6 individual instructors don't actually track attendance 7 for their own class. 8 So we -- we routinely get statements from 9 instructors that verify, "I have no evidence of this 10 student attending or participating." It's up to each 11 instructor to manage their classrooms how they see fit. 12 I understand. Do you have any personal knowledge of whether the instructors for those fall 2010 13 14 classes that Mr. Soballe registered for kept attendance? I have no idea. 15 Α 16 Q Thank you. 17 MR. JONES: I don't think I have any further 18 questions. I just want to take a second to make sure so 19 I don't have to recross. 20 (Pause) 21 MR. JONES: I think that's all for Debtor. 22 MS. SINNOTT: I have just one quick follow up. 23 // 24 // 25 //

Nicole DuPont - ReD 1 REDIRECT EXAMINATION MS. SINNOTT: 2 3 Can you please turn to Exhibit H, page 14? Or 4 I'm sorry, page 12 of 40? 5 MR. JONES: I'm sorry, which --6 Α Yes. 7 MS. SINNOTT: Exhibit H, page 12 of 40. 8 Q (by Ms. Sinnott) And in the middle of that 9 page, there is a portion that says "Registration Holds". 10 Α Yes. 11 And isn't it true that this portion of the Q 12 document directs students on where to go or who to call if they have a registration hold on their account? 13 Yes, it does. It directs students to contact 14 the Office of Admissions, Records and Registration, which 15 16 is my office. 17 Q Thank you. 18 MS. SINNOTT: I have no further questions. 19 THE COURT: All right, you may step down. Can 20 this witness be excused? 21 MS. SINNOTT: You may. 22 THE COURT: All right. So do you want to take 23 a short break before we --? So let's start again at 11. 24 Realize wherever we are at noon, we have to stop. Okay.

25

//

Megan Looney - D 1 MS. SINNOTT: That sounds good to me. Thank 2 you. 3 THE COURT: Okay. 4 (Recess) 5 THE CLERK: Please rise. Court is once again 6 in session, the Honorable Trish M. Brown presiding. 7 Please be seated. 8 MS. SINNOTT: Your Honor, I'd like to call 9 Megan Looney. 10 11 MEGAN LOONEY 12 called as a witness by the Creditor PSU, having being 13 first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 14 THE COURT: Please be seated, state your full 15 name and spell your last name for the record. 16 THE WITNESS: It's Megan Maisel Looney, L-o-o-17 n-e-y. 18 DIRECT EXAMINATION 19 BY MS. SINNOTT: 20 Good morning, Megan. 21 Good morning. Α 22 Megan -- or, I'm sorry -- Ms. Looney -- again, Q 23 Ms. Looney.

Robyn M. Anderson, Franscriber (503) 618-9938

Ms. Looney, where do you work?

Portland State University.

24

25

Α

1 Q And can you tell me what is your title at Portland State? 2 3 Currently, Assistant Director of Student 4 Financial Services. 5 And how long have you worked at Student Financial Services? 6 7 Of my 21 years at PSU, 19 have been within Student Financial Services. 8 9 And what are your responsibilities as Assistant 10 Director of Student Financial Services? 11 I oversee the student accounts, student Α collections and cashier branches within Student Financial 12 13 Services. 14 And are you generally familiar with the procedures and policies of Student Financial Services? 15 16 Α Yes. 17 Q Can you please turn to Exhibit K? 18 Α Yes. 19 Do you recognize this document? Q 20 Α Yes. 21 And can you explain what it is? 22 It's an email that I sent to Mr. Soballe at his 23 request of the Revolving Charge Account Agreement. And why did you send this to Mr. Soballe? 24 25 Α Per his request.

1	Q And how did you obtain a copy of this RCAP in
2	Exhibit K?
3	A At the time he made this request, I did not
4	have direct access to the data warehouse where this was
5	stored. We had migrated to a new system. And the data
6	warehouse that we are currently using did not contain
7	this data. So I had to put in a request to get somebody
8	to pull that data out of our old warehouse information.
9	And what I gave to Mr. Soballe was the information that
10	that person gave me from that database.
11	Q So you obtained this version of the RCAP from
12	another person.
13	A Correct. Who had access to that old data.
14	Q Great. And can you turn to well, actually,
15	let me back up.
16	So during the course of this proceeding, did
17	you provide me with a copy of the Debtor's RCAP?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And where did you get that version of the RCAP?
20	A Based upon the difficulty of having to always
21	put in a request to get an RCAP whenever I needed one, I
22	had requested for IT to move that information over to our
23	current data warehouse system. And they had been able to
24	do that.

And so what I supplied you was whatever had

25

- been put into our new data warehouse, and the report had
 been written to provide that data.
- Q So can you explain to me then why the format
 would have been different between these two documents, to
 the best of your knowledge?
- A So I think it's what Ms. Powell had referred to
 earlier, is that whoever, in the new data warehouse,
 selected the font and how to present the information,
 presented it differently than how it had been presented
- 11 Q And can you -- I'm going to ask you to look at 12 three exhibits.
- 13 A Okay.

10

- Q So the first is Exhibit A. And the second is Exhibit D.
- 16 A Okay.
- 17 Q And the third is Exhibit K.

in our old data warehouse.

- 18 | A Yes.
- 19 Q Have you had an opportunity to compare the
 20 substantive language of each of these three versions of
 21 the RCAP?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q And are -- is the substantive language of these
- 25 A Yes.

24

three versions identical?

- 1 Q Why did you provide Mr. Soballe in 2013 and me 2 recently with the 2005 version of his RCAP?
- 3 A So, when I had looked at his -- his Report 19,
- 4 | which is Exhibit M, it had referenced a RCAP from 2007.
- 5 And that was the basis upon what I was working on. And I
- 6 | had -- my misunderstanding was that I had assumed all the
- 7 data was merged into one report. And I did not
- $8\mid$ understand -- I now have been corrected -- that there are
- 9 | now two reports. And one is the information that is the
- 10 OAM data, versus what I had pulled, which was the old
- 11 substant -- the old RCAP information that came from the
- 12 | prior warehouse. And so I -- there were two different
- 13 reports, and I was unaware of the other.
- Q So let's actually to go Exhibit M, just so we
- 15 can make sure we're on the same page as you.
- 16 A Mm hm.
- 17 Q So when you're saying there was a 2007 RCAP
- 18 referenced --
- 19 A Mm hm.
- 20 | Q -- is it because on the left-hand side, about
- 21 | five lines down, it says "RCAP 2007-04"?
- 22 A Right.
- 23 Q And what would that mean? What would 2007-04
- 24 | mean?
- 25 A That would indicate that he had signed the RCAP

- 1 | in fall of 2007.
- 2 | Q So what you're saying is, when you looked at
- 3 | his Report 19, which is this Exhibit M --
- 4 A Mm hmm.
- 5 Q -- you assumed that the RCAP that was governing
- 6 his account was this 2007 RCAP.
- 7 A Right. It did not even occur to me to look for
- 8 | more current OAM data.
- 9 Q Okay.
- 10 THE COURT: Okay, can I stop you? I have no
- 11 | idea what you are looking at.
- MS. SINNOTT: Exhibit -- Exhibit M.
- THE COURT: I got Exhibit M up.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's --
- 15 MS. SINNOTT: Okay, so at the top left-hand --
- 16 THE COURT: Oh, "RCAP 2007-04"?
- MS. SINNOTT: Yes.
- 18 THE COURT: Okay. All right.
- 19 Q (by Ms. Sinnott) So, Ms. Looney, this Report
- $20 \mid 19$, which is Exhibit M --
- 21 A Mm hm.
- 22 | Q -- you call it Report 19, correct?
- 23 A Mm hm, yeah.
- 24 Q This is what you would pull up when a student
- 25 was asking about his or her account, is that correct?

1 Α Essentially. I mean, I pull that up in Banner, 2 this is a report that we typically provide to students, 3 because it provides it in a nice concise format. yes, if I were to print anything off, this is what I'd 4 5 print off. Oh, I look at it in Banner, it -- it's the 6 same information just presented differently. 7 Is your department in charge of putting Q 8 registration holds on student accounts? 9 For nonpayment, yes. 10 And did your department put a registration hold 11 on Mr. Soballe's account in 2010? 12 Α Yes, we did. 13 And what was the date of that registration 14 hold? We placed it on October 20th of 2010. 15 Α So before October 20th of 2010, would Debtor 16 17 have been able to access his online account to drop his 18 classes? 19 Α Yes. 20 Q Can you please turn to Exhibit L? 21 Yes. Α 22 Do you recognize this document? Q 23 Α Yes. 24 Q And what is this?

This is a registration -- register screen, in

25

Α

- which we can give them permission to override the transcript hold that we place on student accounts.
- Q And does this show that you -- you released transcripts to Mr. Soballe?
- 5 A Yes, twice.
- 6 Q And do you recall the circumstances of 7 releasing those transcripts?
- A I did not do the one in October of 2015. I did do the one in March of 2016, and yes, he needed that to be sent to an employer.
- 11 Q The one from October 21st --
- 12 A 2015 was done by someone else in my office.
- But it was -- it was for something we would typically
 release a transcript for. It was for employment, it was
 going to the Portland Police Bureau.
- Okay. Can you please turn to Exhibit K again?
- 17 | A Yes.
- 18 Q Can you just read for me paragraph 10, where it
 19 says "Billing Rate Summary"?
- 20 A "In case of errors or questions, the debtor may
 21 challenge a charge within 60 days after the first billing
 22 statement on which the suspected error or problem
 23 appeared by directing his/her inquiry to the office
 24 initiating the charge. If an error occurred, affected
 25 charges will be adjusted. Tuition charges are disputed

- 1 | by petitioning Admissions and Records within 12 months.
- 2 | After these deadlines, tuition and other charges are
- 3 | disputed via hearing letter to the Bursar's Office, PO
- 4 | Box 202, Portland, 97207."
- 5 Q Thank you.
- A Mm hm.
- 7 Q Can you turn to Exhibit G?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Do you recognize these documents?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q And what are they?
- 12 A They are billing statements.
- 13 Q And are they billing statements that were sent
- 14 | to Mr. Soballe?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 O Does the Office of Student Financial Services
- 17 | have any record of Mr. Soballe contacting the office
- 18 | after receiving these billing statements?
- 19 A No, we do not.
- Q Can you turn to Exhibit J?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Do you recognize this document?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q Can you explain what it is?
- 25 A This is the accounts receivable comments that

- we use to memorialize any conversations that we have with students that we think will be useful in the future.
- Q And on this specific document, it looks like there's an entry for the 6th of August, 2013, is that correct?
- 6 A Correct.
- Q And it says, "JS called to dispute he owes fall 8 2010. Claims that he wasn't able to drop the class."
- 9 A Mm hm.
- 10 Q Do you remember what the circumstances were of
 11 Mr. Soballe contacting the Student Financial Services in
 12 August of 2013?
- A No. And it wasn't in -- the person who input that was somebody within my office.
- Q So let me just ask you generally, this comment screen, had a student contacted Student Financial Services to dispute a debt, would the University have saved that contact in this comment?
- 19 A Generally.
- Q So other than the contact in August of 2013
 that's represented in this document, which is maintained
 in Portland State's business records, does Student
 Financial Services have any other record of Mr. Soballe
 contacting PSU to dispute his debt?
- 25 A No, we do not.

Megan	Looney	_	D
-------	--------	---	---

- 1 Q Can you please turn to Exhibit M?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q Do you recognize this record?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q We -- we already talked about it. This is the
- 6 Report 19, is that correct?
- 7 A Right. Mm hm.
- 8 Q Can you tell me what, on the first transaction
- 9 detail there --
- 10 A Mm hm.
- 11 Q -- number 411 --
- 12 A Mm hm.
- 0 -- what does that mean?
- 14 A That is an entry saying that we have written
- 15 off his account, which means that we are no longer
- 16 actively collecting on it, aside from sending his
- 17 | information to Oregon Department of Revenue for tax
- 18 offsets as a State agency.
- 19 Q So at this point, PSU does not intend to
- 20 | collect on this debt? Is that correct?
- 21 A No, outside of tax offsets, no.
- 22 | Q Can you please turn to Exhibit I?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 | Q Do you recognize this document?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q Can you explain what this is?
- 2 A This is the letter that was sent to him after 3 the discharge of his bankruptcy.
 - Q And can you just please read paragraph 2?
- A "We have been advised by our attorneys at the
 Oregon Department of Justice that the remaining debt you
 owe Portland State University is not discharged under the
 US Bankruptcy Code as amended in 2005."
- 9 MS. SINNOTT: I have no further questions.

10

11

24

25

4

CROSS EXAMINATION

- 12 BY MR. JONES:
- 13 Q Hi, Ms. Looney.
- 14 A Hi.
- So, I think we'll start off with PSU's exhibit 15 16 And I think we'll just look back at those, what 17 have been referred to as different version of the RCAP. 18 And I believe we can just use that folder and look to 19 Exhibit A and K. But maybe not. I think we'll have to 20 use our binder, if you look, the different version of the 21 agreement would be at 16 and 1. I'm not going to ask 22 many questions about this. From your testimony, would 23 you agree that there's some differences in these

agreements, and somehow some of the data that's entered

into these agreements and some of the headers and some of

- 1 the footers can -- were manipulated at some point to
- 2 | create these differences?
- 3 A In terms of the student specific data, yes.
- 4 | Not --
- 5 Q And also the -- the headers, the footers, the -
- $6 \mid$ the format of the date, etcetera, that they were
- 7 | somehow manipulated from -- in these two different
- 8 | versions, from the database. Correct?
- 9 A Yeah, I mean, they're presented out of two
- 10 | different databases.
- Okay. So, and forgive me, perhaps I'm a bit
- 12 slow.
- But I'm having trouble understanding why there
- 14 is an agreement with an e-signature from 2005 --
- 15 A Mm hm.
- Q -- that was presented as the agreement that is
- 17 | operative as to this 2010 tuition debt.
- 18 And the code on -- I'm looking for the -- yeah,
- 19 M, so Exhibit M. And you just answered some questions
- 20 about these documents with counsel.
- 21 So the 2007 RCAP, that seems to indicate that
- 22 there was an agreement that was assented to by Mr.
- 23 | Soballe in 2007, but the only contract or agreement
- 24 | that's been submitted that says it's the controlling
- 25 | agreement has a e-signature from 2005.

- 1 A Correct.
- 2 Q So is it your understanding from that RCAP
- $3 \mid 2007-04$ in Exhibit M that there would be another
- 4 agreement with a -- that he assented to that had an e-
- 5 | signature or whatnot in 2007?
- 6 A That was my understanding when I -- when I
- 7 | first pulled the information, yes.
- 8 Q That's not correct? That's not what that
- 9 | means?
- 10 A I have been unable to get that document
- 11 produced.
- 12 | Q So this would indicate that there is an
- 13 agreement that he assented to in 2007, but you can't
- 14 | produce that document.
- 15 A Correct.
- 16 | Q So are you still maintaining that the agreement
- 17 | that was allegedly e-signed in 2005 is the agreement that
- 18 | controls as to the 2010 tuition debt, although there may
- 19 | be another agreement in 2007?
- 20 A Substantively, the -- the RCAP did not change
- 21 | until 2010.
- 22 So this -- whether he agreed to it in 2005 or
- 23 | 2007, all that would be different would be the date and
- 24 | the timestamp. It was still the same Revolving Charge
- 25 | Account Agreement information.

1 Q Okay. But wouldn't you agree then, I mean, you 2 have produced, and PSU's has produced this agreement from 3 2005 with the e-signature, seemingly that is relevant 4 that they -- that there's an e-signature and a date that 5 he accepted it. 6 Α Mm hm. 7 Right? But can't produce one from 2007. Q 8 you're saying it doesn't -- it doesn't really matter that 9 PSU can't produce an agreement that has this e-signature 10 and a date that Mr. Soballe assented to it, but 11 nonetheless, submitted this 2005 agreement with the 12 signature? 13 THE COURT: Yeah, no, I didn't understand that 14 question at all. 15 MR. JONES: Okay. Okay. 16 THE COURT: So no. 17 MR. JONES: So --18 THE COURT: She doesn't have the 2 -- 2007 19 agreement. 20 MR. JONES: I'm just --21 THE COURT: Okay? So there's no question about 22 that. 23 MR. JONES: Okay. 24 Q (by Mr. Jones) Can I ask, would there have

been an e-signature submitted on the 2007 agreement that

25

- 1 | would look the same as this 2005 agreement?
- THE COURT: Yeah, you can ask that. That
- 3 | question makes sense to me.
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 THE COURT: Previous one denied.
- 6 A There would have been.
- 7 Do you know how the e-signature is -- is
- 8 | produced onto the RCAP?
- 9 A I do not.
- 10 Q Let's take another look at Exhibit A on this --
- 11 | this 2005 RCAP.
- 12 | Could you read just briefly number 3 under
- 13 | "Agreement" on the first page?
- 14 A "This agreement is subject to the
- 15 | Administrative Rules of Portland State University in
- 16 effect now or in effect when fees/charges are incurred."
- 17 | Q Did I say 2? I meant 3.
- 18 A Oh, I'm sorry. "This agreement will remain in
- 19 effect as long as my account has an outstanding balance."
- 20 Thank you. And if we flip to page 2 of the
- 21 | agreement, could you just read number 11?
- 22 A "Notification of changes. Portland State
- 23 | University may amend these terms and conditions without
- 24 | securing a new agreement. Portland State University will
- 25 | notice -- notify student of any changes in interest,

- 1 charges or fees in advance of the change. If unpaid,
- 2 | student is bound by the changes."
- 3 Q Okay. Would you agree that -- flipping back to
- 4 | 3, would you agree that number 3 is a pretty plain
- 5 | statement that this 2005 agreement would remain in effect
- 6 | as long as there was an outstanding balance?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q So if Mr. Soballe did not have an outstanding
- 9 | balance at any time after October 30th, 2005, became
- 10 | current, then this agreement would not be in effect.
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Thank you. I have one more thing on this
- 13 | agreement. Sorry.
- Back to page 2 and number 5, and I won't have
- 15 | you read that. If you can just see where it says
- 16 | "Billing Charge" and it says, "A \$5 billing charge will
- 17 | be assessed on the next billing date if the total amount
- 18 | due has not been paid during the grace period." Is that
- 19 | correct?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q So there's a \$5 billing charge.
- 22 Do you know if -- is a billing charge the same
- 23 | thing to PSU as an account maintenance fee?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And sorry, back to 11. I think I didn't ask

- 1 | you that. Are you aware of any documents or evidence of
- 2 | PSU providing any notice of the change in terms of the
- 3 | agreement, such as the change of the billing charge, for
- 4 example?
- 5 A They -- aside from being put on our website, I
- 6 | am not aware of anything specific sent to any student.
- 7 Q Okay. And if I can, I'll have you flip to
- 8 | Exhibit M again.
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And this is essentially an account history --
- 11 A Correct.
- 12 Q -- correct, of Mr. Soballe, PSU's history.
- 13 | Okay.
- And we're just going to -- we've already
- 15 | covered that -- that RCAP language there, of the 2007. I
- 16 | think if we pan down a little bit, I think I'm going to
- 17 | go to, actually page 2 of that exhibit. And we'll just
- 18 take a look at a few example lines. Line 371 and 365.
- 19 A Mm hm.
- 20 And do you see there, that's the account
- 21 | maintenance fee, or what you've said PSU also refers to
- 22 | as a -- as a billing charge?
- 23 A Mm hm.
- 24 | Q And there's a 10 -- \$10 --
- 25 A Correct.

- 1 Q -- charge there on July 13th -- or July 16th,
- 2 | 2013. Yes?
- 3 A Correct.
- 4 Q And then in line 365, same thing, another date,
- 5 | \$10 billing fee on May 16th, 2013?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 MS. SINNOTT: Your Honor, I'm going to object
- 8 on relevance grounds.
- 9 MR. JONES: And Your Honor, I'm going to object
- 10 | to the witness making eye contact fairly regularly with
- 11 her counsel. It's probably on accident, but I'm just --
- 12 THE COURT: She's allowed to have contact with
- 13 her counsel. Give me a break.
- 14 MR. JONES: She's -- from my point of view, it
- 15 | appears that she's looking over there when there's a
- 16 pause in between her answer and the question. I'm sure
- 17 | it's on accident. I'd just object to that.
- 18 THE COURT: Objection overruled. She can look
- 19 | at her counsel. And so what is the relevance? That
- 20 | there was a change.
- 21 MR. JONES: So -- so if I'm trying to
- 22 understand this correctly, if there's a change in the
- 23 | fee, PSU's witnesses are now saying that maybe there was
- 24 | this -- these multiple times that Mr. Soballe went onto
- 25 | this website and clicked a box agreeing to terms and

- 1 conditions, although it can't produce an agreement 2 related to that besides 2005.
- If -- if Mr. Soballe by clicking the box was
 agreeing to the original agreement, which it appears it
 does from the exhibits, each time he did that, then he
 would be agreeing to the terms of that original
 agreement, which was a \$5 billing fee.

8 So we're trying to present this evidence to --9 to me, it calls into question, if he had assented to 10 these agreements over time, as late as 2015, long after 11 he was a student, and he was agreeing allegedly to the --12 the blank old agreement that was in place that said it had a \$5 billing fee, if that's the case, then why is PSU 13 14 charging a \$10 billing fee that wasn't in place at the time. I think that's the relevance of it. 15

MS. SINNOTT: How does that go to whether this is a student loan?

THE COURT: I don't know.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JONES: Because whether it's a student loan, one of the elements is that there has to be a valid and enforceable agreement. And I think what we're leading to here is whether there actually is agreement, whether he assented to an agreement at all. PSU is attempting to say he clicked on a box that there's no record besides 2005, and this just goes to the weight of

- 1 | whether that -- there is multiple agreements that are on
- 2 | a later date. Which doesn't seem to make sense, if
- 3 | there's a -- a \$10 fee if the agreement that he's
- 4 agreeing to is an old agreement when it was a \$5 fee.
- 5 THE COURT: Okay, point made.
- 6 MR. JONES: Thank you.
- 7 THE COURT: You can argue about relevance in
- 8 closing.
- 9 Q (by Mr. Jones) So I think, if we can flip to
- 10 page 8, same exhibit. Which is the last page.
- 11 A Mm hm.
- 12 Q It kind of goes reverse chronology here, so
- 13 | we're kind of going to the -- to the first term that Mr.
- 14 | Soballe registered for in 2005. And we see in the -- in
- 15 | the left-hand column there, those are charges, and then
- 16 | we see payments in the second column.
- 17 A Mm hm.
- 18 Q I mean, I think we'd have to go back to the
- 19 | first page to actually see the -- the names of the
- 20 columns. But -- and we see that there was charges for
- 21 | that first term in 2005, and then there's payments, and
- 22 then there's some more charges for the next term, and
- 23 | then there's payments. And I believe it was your
- 24 | testimony at your deposition, and I think we could look
- 25 | at this form here and add up the numbers, but that Mr.

Megan Looney - X

1 Soballe registered for classes in 2005, attended classes, 2 and then there was payments made from financial aid, or 3 from Mr. Soballe, and that his account was current. And 4 then he'd register for classes again. I think if we 5 looked at that form. So there was points where he would 6 owe money, the tuition was always paid from 2005 and on, 7 correct? 8 Α Correct. 9 So I'm just going to have you take a look at 10 the billing statements. 11 THE COURT: Well, can we -- I have a couple of 12 questions about this document. Why is the balance always 13 zero? 14 THE WITNESS: So, right now his account is 15 sitting in write-off status, so we're not actively 16 collecting on it. So he's got holds on his account that 17 prevent transcripts or registration. But once -- since 18 we're not actively collecting on it, it doesn't have a 19 balance because we're not accruing fees on it. So it 20 just kind of lives in a -- in a write-off status. 21 there -- there's nothing that would be outstanding on his 22 account. 23 THE COURT: Okay.

THE COURT: And -- wait. I'm -- okay, that's

24

Q

All right --

- 1 | all I had on this.
- THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 3 Q (by Mr. Jones) All right, Ms. DuPont, we're
- 4 | going to go to the billing statements, which is Exhibit
- 5 | G.
- 6 A Mm hm.
- 7 Q I'm going to have you flip through those
- 8 | billing statements, I guess the first one, and we'll see
- 9 up at the right-hand top on the statement date it says
- 10 | August 16th, 2010?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q This -- this is a statement that was allegedly
- 13 | sent to Mr. Soballe.
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 | Q Correct? And maybe if we could, I would also
- 16 | have you flip to the Registration Guide, which is in
- 17 | Exhibit H.
- 18 A Mm hm.
- 19 Q You can kind of look at that, because what I'm
- 20 | trying to get to here is the -- the different dates when
- 21 there would be a percentage of the debt that would be
- 22 | subtracted if the classes were dropped by the student at
- 23 | that particular date.
- 24 So if -- if we look at Exhibit H, I think page
- 25 | 2 has that schedule for fall 2010.

- 1 A Correct.
- 2 So, and then if we can kind of flip back and
- 3 | look at the statement from August 16th --
- 4 THE COURT: Wasn't that for a summer class?
- 5 A This would have been for summer term 2010.
- 6 | Fall had not yet started.
- 7 Q For this -- for this class. Okay.
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 | Q So let's -- let's go to September 20th
- 10 | statement then. The next one, next page.
- 11 A Okay. Yes.
- 12 Q Okay. So these are charges here for --
- 13 A Fall term.
- 14 0 -- fall term.
- 15 A Mm hm. Plus these accruing interest and
- 16 | billing fees on the summer balance.
- 17 Q Mm hm. And so if we look at that schedule --
- 18 | so this -- this statement was sent September 20th.
- 19 A Mm hm.
- 20 And if we look at that schedule there, up until
- 21 October -- it looks like October 4th, if he would have
- 22 | dropped classes, he would have been eligible for a 70
- 23 percent reduction.
- 24 A No. As -- through midnight of the 3rd, he
- 25 | would have been eligible for 100 percent.

- 1 Q Through midnight of the 3rd.
- 2 A Yep. And as of the 4th, it was a 70 percent
- 3 refund.
- 4 Q Okay. So on -- on September 20th, he would
- 5 | have been entitled still to a --
- 6 A 100 percent.
- 7 Q -- 100 percent reduction.
- 8 A Yes. The term hadn't even started yet.
- 9 Q Okay. And then if we pan down to the bottom --
- 10 A Mm hm.
- 11 Q -- we see there's no notes about dropping or
- 12 | the deadline and other things. Is there anywhere there
- 13 | that he would know that he would have been entitled to
- 14 | 100 percent refund? We see charges. Is there anything
- 15 on this statement that says where he would go to drop the
- 16 classes to receive the --
- 17 A No.
- 18 Q -- refund? Or what the --
- 19 A Not enough space.
- 20 Or that if he -- or that he would have been
- 21 | entitled to 100 percent refund?
- 22 A No. That's why we have the Registration Guide.
- 23 Q Okay. And if we flip to the next statement,
- 24 | October 18th.
- 25 A Mm hm.

	Megan Looney - ReD					
1	Q So, it's my understanding from the schedule,					
2	and maybe I'm wrong, that he would have been eligible for					
3	a 20 percent reduction on the date of this statement if					
4	he would have dropped classes before then?					
5	A Yes. As of that specific date, yes.					
6	Q And not to					
7	A Started the 20 percent.					
8	Q not to be repetitive, but again, no					
9	information about how to go about getting that 20 percent					
10	reduction on those statements?					
11	A No.					
12	MR. JONES: No further questions.					
13	MS. SINNOTT: I just have one follow-up					
14	question.					
15	THE COURT: Okay.					
16						
17	REDIRECT EXAMINATION					
18	BY MS. SINNOTT:					
19	Q Ms. Looney, earlier Mr. Jones asked whether you					
20	agreed that data on the RCAP could be manipulated, I					
21	think was the word that he used.					
22	A Mm hm.					
23	Q And I think you said yes, the student name and					
24	signature could be manipulated.					
25	You do you mean that the raw data could					

- 1 change? Not that you yourself could go in and manipulate
- 2 | the data in the data warehouse.
- 3 A Correct. Because I have -- it's a read-only
- 4 database, I have no ability to change any of the
- 5 | information. It's just how the manipulation of how the
- 6 | report appears could change some of that data.
- 7 Q Okay.
- 8 MS. SINNOTT: That's all I have.
- 9 THE COURT: So, I think you said that there
- 10 | were amendments to the RCAP --
- 11 THE WITNESS: Mm hmm, correct.
- 12 THE COURT: -- in 2010.
- 13 THE WITNESS: Correct. We -- yeah. We have --
- 14 THE COURT: So what changes were made and when
- 15 | were they made?
- 16 THE WITNESS: I do not have that on me, but we
- 17 | have -- we have on occasions updated that form.
- 18 THE COURT: So sometime in 2010 the form was
- 19 updated.
- 20 THE WITNESS: Yes. Updated, and then they also
- 21 | went into the OAM process. So how the acceptance
- 22 | changed, as well.
- 23 | THE COURT: So that's when the -- the pop-up
- 24 | comes up?
- 25 THE WITNESS: No, the pop-up was coming before.

- 1 When it went into the OAM process in 2010 is when it
- 2 | became part of the three documents that you accept every
- $3 \mid$ six months.
- 4 Q (by Ms. Sinnott) But was it your
- 5 | understanding that those two processes overlapped during
- 6 | the time that Mr. Soballe would have registered for fall
- 7 | 2010?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q So it was both the OAM process and the Banweb.
- 10 A Correct. For a period of about six months, I
- 11 | believe.
- 12 | Q But the agreement and terms and condition were
- 13 | the same during that time.
- 14 A Correct.
- 15 THE COURT: And can you look at Exhibit C?
- 16 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 17 THE COURT: That's what would have popped up,
- 18 | right?
- 19 THE WITNESS: Right.
- 20 THE COURT: In 2010 --
- 21 THE WITNESS: Yep.
- 22 THE COURT: -- this is what would have popped
- 23 | up.
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 25 | //

Jens Peter Soballe - D (PSU) 1 THE COURT: And in order to register, you have 2 to accept. 3 THE WITNESS: Yes. 4 THE COURT: Okay. Okay, thank you. 5 MS. SINNOTT: No further questions. 6 THE COURT: Can this witness be excused? 7 MS. SINNOTT: Yes. 8 THE COURT: All right. 9 MS. SINNOTT: I'd like to call Mr. Soballe. I 10 don't know how mechanically you want to do this, if you 11 want to go first. 12 MR. FULLER: Go ahead. 13 MS. SINNOTT: Okay. 14 MR. FULLER: No, that's fine. 15 16 JENS PETER SOBALLE 17 called as a witness by the Creditor PSU, having being 18 first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 19 THE COURT: Please be seated, state your full 20 name and spell your last name for the record. 21 THE WITNESS: Jens Peter Soballe, last name is 22 S-o-b-as-in-boy-a-l-l-e. 23 THE COURT: All right. 24 //

25

//

CREDITOR PSU'S DIRECT EXAMINATION

2 BY MS. SINNOTT:

1

- 3 Q Good morning, Mr. Soballe. We're still barely
- 4 | in the morning hours.
- 5 A Good morning.
- 6 Q Can you please turn to Exhibit F?
- 7 A Okay.
- 8 | Q Page 4 of -- oh, let's see -- page 4 of 7,
- 9 | please. And I'm looking at summer 2009 quarter, do you
- 10 | see that down at the bottom right-hand corner?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q And you see there's a General Chemistry III
- 13 | listed?
- 14 A Mm hm.
- 15 Q And an X right next to that?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Did you attend that class?
- 18 A I couldn't tell you.
- 19 Q Well, during your deposition you said that you
- 20 | had attended the class --
- 21 A Yeah.
- 22 | Q -- and I can actually read to you what your
- 23 | testimony was.
- 24 A Appears -- yeah, looking at it, it appears that
- 25 | I probably did. I passed a lab for that -- that Gen Chem

- 1 III.
- 2 | Q And I'll just quote for you from your
- 3 | testimony. You said that you attended that class for the
- 4 | whole term. Does that sound about right?
- 5 A Yeah.
- 6 Q Okay. Yet you received an X on that.
- 7 A Mm hmm.
- 8 Q Okay. Did you ever notify the Registrar or
- 9 | Student Financial Services at PSU at any time during the
- 10 | fall 2010 term that you were not attending those classes?
- 11 A As far as like written communication, or --
- 12 Q No, just communication. Did you tell them?
- 13 A I don't know if going on the Banweb website
- 14 | would count as communication, but that's the only thing I
- 15 | did.
- 16 | Q Did you -- so you -- you didn't call, email or
- 17 | go in person to the Registrar's Office or Student
- 18 | Financial Services during that term.
- 19 A During fall of 2010, no.
- 20 Q Okay. So you said that you attempted to go on
- 21 | Banweb and drop your classes, but there was a hold.
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Do you recall what date you tried to do that?
- 24 A I do not recall what date I attempted. I know
- 25 | that I attempted to drop the classes after I got

- 1 | notification from FAFSA that I wouldn't be receiving any
- 2 | more financial aid.
- 3 Q Is it possible that that would have been after
- 4 October 20th, 2010?
- 5 A I don't believe it was, I think it was before
- 6 that.
- 7 Do you have --
- 8 A I believe it was before I went to Haiti, is
- 9 | when I tried.
- 10 Q But is it possible that it was after you got
- 11 back from Haiti?
- 12 A I suppose it's possible that my memory might be
- 13 | faulted on the exact date, it was six years ago.
- 14 Q Okay. After you -- a hold was placed on your
- 15 | account, and you tried, as you said, attempted to drop
- 16 online, did you ever go in person to the Registrar's
- 17 | Office?
- 18 A No, I was out of the country until the
- 19 | reduction period was over.
- 20 So it's safe to say you never went in person to
- 21 | the Registrar's Office. Did you ever call the
- 22 | Registrar's Office?
- 23 A No.
- 24 | Q Did you ever email the Registrar's Office?
- 25 A Not in fall 2010.

Jens	Peter	Soballe	- D	(by	Debtor))
------	-------	---------	-----	-----	---------	---

- 1 Q Did you ever submit any petition to PSU to 2 obtain a retroactive refund of your tuition?
- A No, I didn't know about that until these proceedings occurred.
- 5 When I called the Registrar's Office after, I
 6 never heard about it until the last two months probably.
- Q Other than this proceeding, did you ever file any sort of lawsuit against PSU to make a determination about your debt to PSU?
- 10 A No, I just filed bankruptcy. I listed them as 11 a debtor, I believe.
- MS. SINNOTT: Okay, I don't have any further questions.

14

15

DEBTOR'S DIRECT EXAMINATION

- 16 BY MR. FULLER:
- 17 Q Mr. Soballe, when did you first become a 18 student at Portland State University?
- 19 A I believe 2005, fall of 2005.
- 20 And did you complete that term in fall 2005?
- 21 A Yes, I did.
- Q Do you recall the status of your account
- 23 | balance at the end of the term of fall 2005?
- 24 A It would have been paid in full.
- 25 Q And remind us, how long did you attend Portland

- 1 | State University as a student?
- 2 A Well, if -- as of 2015, I -- ten years, I don't
- 3 know. 2010 was the last year that I attended any classes
- 4 | at Portland State.
- 5 Q Do you recall the status of your account
- 6 | balance by the end of your summer term of 2010?
- 7 A I had a few hundred dollars outstanding.
- 8 Q Okay. And you can't attend class if you owe
- 9 | from the prior term, correct?
- 10 A You can -- you can attend class if you owe, but
- 11 | if you don't register before they put the hold on your
- 12 | account, you can't register for any more classes, and
- 13 then you wouldn't be able to attend.
- 14 Q So from fall 2005 to spring 2010, do you recall
- 15 | the status of your account balance by the end of each
- 16 | term?
- 17 A I believe it was always paid in full so I could
- 18 | continue attending classes.
- 19 Q Did you ever attend any classes in the fall of
- 20 | 2010 at Portland State University?
- 21 A No, I did not.
- 22 Q Did you receive any credit for the fall 2010
- 23 | term at Portland State University?
- 24 A No, I did not.
- 25 | Q You explained earlier, but would you elaborate,

why didn't you attend?

1

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A So, every year, fall term, you have to submit
your application for student loans. And I received a
determination from FAFSA that I would not be receiving
any more student loans, I wasn't eligible for any more
financial aid at that point. So I -- I wasn't going to
attend classes that I couldn't pay for.

And then also, I was working as the Caribbean Regional Coordinator for an NGO at the time, and I was working in Haiti often. Especially in fall of 2010.

- Q Did you attempt to drop your fall 2010 classes?
- 12 A Yes, I did.
- 13 | Q How did you attempt to drop the classes?
- A I went on the Banweb student account, where I typically would add or drop classes.
 - Q Do you recall if you were ever made aware that there might have been other options available to drop other than how you registered for them, which was online?
 - A No. When you attempt to drop the class, it tells you that there's a registration hold on your account, but it offers you no other information at that time.
- Q Would you please take out our pink binder, and would you please turn to Exhibit 1?
- 25 A Okay.

Jens !	Peter	Soballe	- D	(by	Debtor)
--------	-------	---------	-----	-----	---------

- 1 Q Please look over Exhibit 1.
- 2 A Okay.
- 3 | Q It's three pages, correct?
- 4 A I have two -- oh yeah, the -- yeah. Yes, three
- 5 | pages.
- 7 A Yes, I do.
- 8 Q What is it?
- 9 A It was an email sent to me by Megan Looney at
- 10 | Portland State, and she sent me the RCAP that I had
- 11 | requested per our conversation.
- 12 Q And is the RCAP that you received the second
- 13 | two pages of Exhibit 2?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 | Q Prior to requesting this agreement from Ms.
- 16 | Looney, do you ever recall having seen it before?
- 17 A No, I have never seen it before. Which was
- 18 exactly the reason I requested it. On the phone call,
- 19 she had told me that my debt was considered a student
- 20 | loan because of the RCAP that I had signed, and that's
- 21 | why it wasn't discharged in bankruptcy.
- 22 At which point, I requested her to send me a
- 23 copy of the agreement, because I never recalled signing
- 24 | it.
- 25 | Q Do you ever recall having seen or accepted this

- 1 | agreement online?
- 2 A No, I just have the record that she sent me.
- 3 | That was the only reason I knew about this agreement at
- 4 | all.
- 5 Q Do you recall accepting this agreement by
- 6 | clicking a box online?
- 7 | A No.
- 8 Q Did you ever receive a notice from Portland
- 9 | State University that your billing charge had increased
- 10 | from \$5 to \$10?
- 11 A Not to my knowledge.
- 12 | Q Did you ever receive any student aid funds
- 13 directly from Portland State University?
- 14 A No.
- 15 Q Did Portland State University refer your
- 16 | account to an outside collection agency after you filed
- 17 | bankruptcy?
- 18 A After the discharge was complete, yes.
- 19 Q There was some talk of trans -- transcripts
- 20 earlier. I want to be clear, after you filed bankruptcy
- 21 and received your discharge, did Portland State
- 22 | University ever refuse to provide you your transcripts?
- 23 A Repeatedly.
- 24 | Q No further questions, Mr. Soballe.
- 25 | //

	Jens Peter Soballe - X (by PSU)
1	THE COURT: So, is this your direct, as well?
2	MR. FULLER: That's my direct, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	MS. SINNOTT: So, I have a couple follow-up.
5	THE COURT: Yes.
6	
7	CREDITOR PSU'S CROSS EXAMINATION
8	BY MS. SINNOTT
9	Q So you just testified that you don't that
10	you never clicked a box to agree to the RCAP, is that
11	correct?
12	A I don't recall ever clicking on a box to accept
13	the RCAP, no.
14	Q So is it possible that you did click the box,
15	you just don't remember now?
16	A Eleven years ago, yes, it's possible that I
17	don't remember something that happened eleven years ago.
18	Q What about six years ago?
19	A It's a long time. It's a lot of days. There's
20	a lot of clicky boxes in our world nowadays.
21	Q Absolutely, I agree.
22	You testified on numerous occasions that PSU
23	has refused to provide your transcripts. Have there been
24	circumstances where PSU has provided you transcripts,
25	despite your hold?

1 Α That is not true. No, I have not ever No. 2 received my transcripts from Portland State. 3 Has Portland State sent your transcripts to 4 your employers per your request? 5 Α They have after repeated phone calls, yes. 6 Q Okay. 7 MS. SINNOTT: No further questions. 8 THE COURT: Any follow-up? 9 MR. FULLER: No. 10 THE COURT: You may step down, thank you. Oh 11 wait, I -- I have a question. 12 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. 13 THE COURT: When did you go to Haiti? And why 14 did you go to Haiti? 15 THE WITNESS: There was an earthquake in Haiti that leveled Port-au-Prince. 16 17 THE COURT: Right, in January of 2010 --18 THE WITNESS: Correct. So I --19 THE COURT: -- right? And so when did you go? 20 THE WITNESS: -- I went two weeks after the 21 earthquake originally. I was directing a medical clinic 22 in Port-au-Prince. And then I returned and I went four

October 9th through the 24th, on that particular

more times that year, I believe. And I was in Haiti from

23

24

25

instance.

Jens Peter Soballe - X (by PSU) 1 THE COURT: Okay. Did you go to Haiti again 2 after that? 3 THE WITNESS: Yes. 4 THE COURT: When? 5 THE WITNESS: I have to check my passport. 6 can do that, I brought it with me. 7 (Pause) 8 March of 2011 and February of 2011, would have 9 been the next time. 10 THE COURT: Okay. All right, thank you. 11 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 12 THE COURT: Do you have any further witnesses? 13 MS. SINNOTT: I don't. 14 MR. FULLER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: You're done? Okay. So what I 15 16 suggest is, we have to be out of here at noon, I'm sorry. 17 So what I suggest is that we break until -- when's your 18 hearing? 1:30? 19 MR. FULLER: 1:30. 20 THE COURT: Let's break until 2, and you can 21 come back and do closing at 2. All right? 22 MR. FULLER: Thank you. 23 MS. SINNOTT: Thank you, sounds good. 24 THE COURT: All right.

(Recess)

25

1	THE CLERK: Please rise. Court is once again
2	in session, the Honorable Trish M. Brown presiding.
3	Please be seated.
4	THE COURT: Go ahead.
5	MS. SINNOTT: Should I go? Okay.
6	THE COURT: One-two-three go.
7	MS. SINNOTT: And do you mind if I stay seated?
8	THE COURT: No, I do not.
9	MS. SINNOTT: Thank you, Your Honor.
10	
11	CREDITOR PSU'S CLOSING ARGUMENT
12	MS. SINNOTT: Your Honor, under 11 USC
13	523(a)(8)(A)(i), PSU must prove two things.
14	The first is that PSU is a governmental unit.
15	That fact has been stipulated to, I don't think that's in
16	dispute.
17	The second thing is that there was a contract
18	to which Debtor agreed the contract to which Debtor
19	agreed was an educational loan.
20	The evidence has shown that Mr. Soballe agreed
21	to the RCAP in at least two different ways in the summer
22	of 2010 preceding his registration for fall 2010 term.
23	First, before he was allowed to register online in the
24	Banweb system, he was required to quote-unquote "click
25	the box" as evidenced in Exhibit C, and second, before he

1	was allowed to change his password in OAM, he was
2	required to accept the RCAP terms. This is in addition
3	to the times when he accepted the RCAP in previous terms.
4	Mr. Soballe has offered no evidence to the
5	contrary, that he has not that he did not click the
6	box and did not agree to the RCAP. He simply says he
7	does not remember doing so. So there should be no
8	question that Mr. Soballe agreed to the RCAP.
9	The question is whether the RCAP is a student
10	loan pardon me, an educational loan. If you review
11	the RCAP that was linked to the click box, it shows that
12	the RCAP has all the ear-markings of a loan. Because
13	there's no definition for educational loan in the
14	Bankruptcy Code, courts, including this circuit, have
15	adopted a relatively broad definition of "loan".
16	So it's a pretty flexible definition, and in
17	this case it's clearly a loan.
18	There are if you look at the terms and
19	conditions, there are required payments, there are
20	deferred payments, there are due dates, there are late
21	payment fees.
22	THE COURT: Where where is all that?
23	MS. SINNOTT: I'm sorry, in Exhibit D, page 2.
24	And this is identical to Exhibit A and Exhibit K.
25	THE COURT: Okay.

MS. SINNOTT: So there is -- there are deferred chargements -- I'm sorry, deferred charges that are paid in payments throughout the term. So all payments must be paid on or before the designated due date. There are late payment fees for not making the payments on time. There are interest charges for -- on amounts that were not paid within the grace period. There are billing charges. There are collection costs.

In short, this document -- this RCAP in its four corners has all of the ear-markings of a loan.

Because Mr. Soballe agreed to this, and because his obligation was governed by this, there is no question that his obligation to PSU is an educational loan.

The Debtor argues that there was not an educational loan because he never attended classes and therefore he never received an "educational benefit".

Setting aside the facts, which are he could have dropped his classes but he didn't, the hold was not on his account until well after the deadlines had -- had passed, he could have gone to the Registrar, he could have called and asked questions about how he could drop his classes outside of the hold. He never did so.

Regardless of that, it doesn't matter whether he attended or he didn't attend his class. The weight of authority looks at the purpose test versus the use test

1 when considering whether -- whether and educational loan 2 is nondischargeable. It does not matter whether or how a 3 debtor used the funds or the amounts advanced under a 4 loan to determine whether it's an educational loan. What 5 matters is what the purpose of the loan was for. And 6 that's established, if you read the In re Maas case, M-a-7 a-s, 497 BR 863, it has a pretty good outline of what the 8 purpose test is versus use test. It's -- it's 9 established that that test is what governs. 10 If the Court were to accept Debtor's argument 11 that because he never attended, it's not an educational 12 loan, it would be accepting the use test, because it 13 would look at, well, how was the loan used? 14 case, it was not used by his choice. He could have attended all term. His spot was open all term. But that 15 16 is not the right analysis. And for this Court to adopt 17 that analysis would go against the purpose test. 18 The cases that were cited in Debtor's trial 19 brief where the Court held there was no student loan are 20 distinguishable. 21 So the first one would be the In re Hawkins 22 case, so that's the 317 BR 104, and that's a Ninth 23 Circuit case from 2004.

school in Ohio, had agreed to -- had gotten reduced

In that case, the debtor had signed up for med

24

25

tuition in -- in exchange for agreeing to stay in Ohio for five years after graduating, as a way to keep students in the -- in the state so they can maintain medical professionals there.

There was an agreement that the debtor signed called a Contract of Admission. And in that agreement, the repercussions for not staying in Ohio for five years was that the debtor was required to pay a form of liquidated damages where they would look at what the cost of subsidizing a medical student at the time of the breach was, and they would charge that to the debtor.

In that case, the Court found that that was not a student loan, and it was dischargeable because there was no loan. It had nothing to do deferred payments or an extension of credit, it was a liquidated damages provision.

And I have the Black's Law Dictionary definition of "liquidated damages". I think there is a little bit of a misunderstanding about what that means. Debtor's counsel has inferred -- or implied that in this case there was liquidated damages because he never attended. But really what liquidated damages is, is it's a measure of damages that is not actual damages. In this case, there's actual damages because you look at what did he owe the University. That's what their damages were.

That's how -- that was the measure of damages.

Liquidated damages is -- and you'll have to

forgive me for reading from this, but it is, "If the

parties to a contract have properly agreed on liquidated

damages, the sum fixed is the measure of damages for a

breach, whether it exceeds or falls short of the actual

damages." So it's a different analysis, it's a different

computation.

In the *In re Hawkins* case, that computation was what it would cost for another med student's subsidy at the time of the breach. It wasn't how much did that debtor gain from entering into this admission agreement as actual damages. So it's -- it's distinguishable. It's just completely different from this situation.

Another case that I want to discuss is the Shojayi case, In re Shojayi, I don't know if I'm pronouncing that properly, but it's 515 BR 329 (D. Kan. 2014). Debtor cited this in his trial brief with a parenthetical that said the Court held that there was no student loan when the -- the student never attended class.

Well, that case had nothing to do with whether the student attended classes or not. And I think that that's -- that parenthetical is misleading. So I want to make sure that it's clear to the Court what that case

1 | actually was about.

So, in that case, the -- it was a -- an elementary school, and the parents for the elementary-age students had agreed to a -- what was it called -- enrollment contract.

So basically they said, "We're going to enroll and we promise to pay tuition." Tuition was due in advance, however.

So it was never that the school extended credit to the parents. The school just said, "You must pay in advance. And if you don't, there were some repercussions." There was no -- nothing about deferred payments.

So the Court said, "Well, this isn't really a loan. They didn't extend credit. It was just you agree that you're going to pay a tuition in advance." And it had nothing at all to do with whether the students actually attended class or not. So, just want to clarify that case, the parenthetical cited in that -- in their brief is not correct. Or at least is not -- is -- is somewhat misleading.

Other cases -- and I won't go through all of
them -- but other cases that have held that -- that
agreements are not student loans generally look at timing
of the agreement. So if the agreement is entered into

after the obligation is incurred, those are generally not considered educational loans. It has to precede the agreement. In this case, we have that.

I'm sorry, the agreement has to precede the attendance at the school. Or they have some other measure of damages, like liquidated damages, which we don't have here.

So, finally, you know, you're well familiar with *In re McKay*, it was your case, went to the Ninth Circuit.

The Debtor's lawyers are going to make a big deal of one specific line in that case about how the -the amount of the loan has to be the actual benefit
received. I -- I think that they're taking that
statement out of contact -- context. I think what the
issue was, was the loan itself didn't have an amount
listed in it, so the parties fought over, "Well, it
doesn't have this amount listed. It's not a loan if it
doesn't have an amount listed." And the courts -- all
three of the courts, you, the District Court and the
Ninth Circuit, said, "Well, that doesn't really matter,
because you can look to the tuition schedules and figure
out what it is that the debtor owes." So that was not
dispositive. And I don't think that because that
specific sentence is in the case, it changes the analysis

1 here. I still think we have to look at the purpose test not the use test. And I don't think that it matters 2 3 whether he attended class by his own choosing. 4 The other case that they're going to talk about 5 is In re Johnson. 6 Again, there is for me an unfortunate sentence 7 in there that says that the debtor in that case -- in that case the Court held that it was a student loan, but 9 they said the debtor agreed to pay tuition in advance of 10 starting and then drew upon the line of credit by his 11 attendance to the class. That was just one piece of many 12 pieces of that paragraph. It wasn't the only thing that 13 the Court was looking at, whether he actually attended, 14 it was just one of the reasons why the Court said, "Well, this is a loan, and I'm going to have -- I'm going to 15 16 give an expansive reading of what 'loan' means." 17 So, that's all I have. Do you have any 18 questions? 19 THE COURT: No, I don't. 20 21 DEBTOR'S CLOSING ARGUMENTS 22 So I'm going to start off by just MR. JONES: 23 going back to the beginning, in the opening, in a few 24 sentence of why -- what Debtor thinks are the key issues

25

here.

1 So on 523(a)(8)(A)(i), this debt must be considered a loan in order to be held nondischargeable. 2 3 In the Ninth Circuit -- in this Court and in 4 the Ninth Circuit, we have McKay and we know that the 5 definition of loan has been extended to include some 6 tuition debts, or deferred tuition debts, where there's 7 an agreement between the student and the creditor setting forth the terms and conditions that's effective as to 9 that particular debt, including certain terms and 10 conditions like the date certain for repayment, and (3) 11 where there's an actual benefit received by the debtor 12 resulting from the debt. 13 And I think that's clear in the case law, and 14 I'll talk more about that. So to summarize, we think the central issues 15 are, is there a valid and enforceable agreement that 16 17 pertains to the 2010 tuition debt? 18 And the second question, did Mr. Soballe 19 receive an actual benefit from the tuition debt? 20 And I think the evidence presented here today 21 clearly shows that the -- the answer to both of these 22 questions is no, and thus the tuition debt to PSU is 23 discharged in Mr. Soballe's bankruptcy. 24 So starting off with whether there's a valid enforceable agreement that was effective as to the 2010 25

tuition debt.

PSU originally presented evidence to this Court of a 2005 agreement that it felt was very relevant, that had an e-signature and a particular date showing when Mr. Soballe assented to this agreement.

Since submitting that 2005 agreement, discovery and -- and testimony here today has shown that actually, well maybe there was multiple times throughout his relationship with PSU that he had assented to a blank version, at least, of a -- what they call an RCAP, or an agreement.

So how can they show that assent to that 2005 agreement? We -- we have a flowchart and -- and apparently Mr. Soballe was required to click on a box that says he agreed to certain terms and conditions, and then there was another hyperlink that -- that said "click here" that he would go to the actual agreement and read those terms if he so chose.

And so PSU submitted this actual e-signed document, which I think is very unclear how the e-signature actually is manifested on the document, how the date is manifested. I don't think there's real evidence of what that was or how that came to be. We have two different versions that were presented of this agreement, which I understand that the -- the Court does not believe

are substantive, and we would agree with that.

But I think the relevance of those two different versions is that -- that we are supposed to believe that they have met their burden of proof that he assented -- that Mr. Soballe assented to the agreement by showing what this e-signature is, but yet we have two different versions of this document that came from the database that have -- whether they be substantive differences in the terms and conditions, I think goes to show that this document itself and how it was stored and what we see here isn't -- isn't trustworthy, or should be given little weight in terms of Mr. Soballe assenting to that agreement in 2005.

In terms of the other alleged agreements or times that he "clicked the box" so to speak, when he changed his password and was then agreeing to another agreement, that would supplant the -- the earlier agreement.

And we have PSU stating that as late as 2015, just last year, five years after he was a student, that he was agreeing to a blank RCAP agreement. Which -- and even reading through the language of the RCAP agreement, any sensible reading of that, if a -- if a student were to look at that, you'd be agreeing to something when you haven't registered for classes in -- in five years.

Additionally, this copy of the agreement still states the old billing charges of -- of \$5. So if he's agreeing in 2015 to this old agreement of \$5, why was he being charged \$10?

I think as I said earlier, that goes to show that they have taken their burden very lightly to show that there was an agreement formed and that Mr. Soballe assented to that agreement. And they've just simply produced one agreement that clearly doesn't control — they concede it doesn't control, from 2005. They can't produce any other agreement. There may have been an agreement in 2007 that actually he signed, but they weren't able to produce that. He may have clicked on the box, you know, five or seven times since then, I think if I could go back and count, as late as 2015, and maybe those are the agreements that control.

They've stated that the agreement was amended sometime in 2010. They haven't produced that agreement. But yet if he agreed to this agreement by clicking on the box as late as 2015, that agreement was not even before the Court of what the terms and conditions of that agreement are.

So it's Debtor's position that PSU simply hasn't met its burden that Mr. Soballe assented to any of these RCAP agreements.

And what we do know from McKay, going back to those -- to those elements, is that there has to be a valid and enforceable agreement. And they haven't met their burden. If there's no agreement, then it's not a loan.

In terms of the definition of loan, as I said, it's -- it's clear that this Court and the District Court and the Ninth Circuit and other courts have expanded the definition of a loan. A traditional loan, of course, is when there's money exchange hands. That, you know, a creditor agrees to -- to give a loan of \$20,000 and either that goes to the university or it goes to the student and he pays his educational expenses with that.

THE COURT: But, if that were true, you could never have a loan that was made by a university, right? You're not arguing that, are you?

MR. JONES: No, I'm -- I'm not actually. I

think that we -- we concede that there -- there are some

times that a -- a tuition debt like this could be a loan.

But those parameters and those elements necessary for it

to be a loan are exactly that, they're necessary. Right?

We need to have this agreement with those definite terms,

right? If we don't have that, then it can't be a loan.

And the -- the actual benefit received, I think

is just simply clear. Opposing counsel points to those

cases says, "That wasn't that issue in that case." Well,
no, it wasn't, because in each of those cases, including
in this Court, a finding was made that the student used
the account.

And -- and as far as McKay, the account was actually -- it could be used for all sorts of things including, you know, tuition, for books, for vending machines, for dining and for meals. And the student did use that account.

So of course it wasn't an issue, that wasn't the exact issue decided in *McKay*. Nor was it the issue that was decided in *Johnson*, nor was it the issue that was decided in *Hawkins*, because the findings were all clearly made.

In Hawk -- in Hawkins, she had received her medical -- medical degree. There was no argument that she didn't get the training.

In McKay, it was clear that the -- that McKay had used the account for all sorts of things, tuition, books and food and -- and whatnot. And the same as in Johnson.

And so, yes, the Court didn't decide it on that issue because it wasn't present. But nonetheless, it is a necessary element. And I think common sense tells us why it's a necessary element. Because although that

definition of "loan" has been extended to say -- to a

tuition debt where no money exchanged hands because

you're agreeing to pay for something, for some services

at a later date.

If you take out that final pillar there, that pillar of actual benefit, then it stretches the definition of "loan" beyond any tenable meaning whatsoever. It would be -- it would give it more protection than a -- than a traditional loan would have. Because the actual benefit received and the consideration for a loan is that \$20,000 going from the creditor to the -- to the university or to the student.

If that \$20,000 never gets paid anywhere then there's no loan.

And -- and if you take that pillar of having an actual benefit out of this expanded definition of loan, then it's just simply not a loan. And that's why the court -- the various courts that have decided this made sure to make findings that there was an actual benefit received in order to be considered a loan.

I think maybe an analogy -- a common analogy would be that if Mr. Fuller and I agreed that, you know, I'd rent his office space out for \$100 on some day for an hour, right? And we had an agreement to do so. And then I never show up, I never use his office space. Mr.

Fuller uses -- uses the office space, and then he comes and he says, "Mr. Jones, I loaned you \$100. You owe me \$100 because I loaned you \$100." Fine, there may be a -- a breach of contract there because I didn't show up, and it said if I didn't that I would be charged \$100. But if he used his office, there's -- there's no way that that can be considered a loan because there was actually no benefit received. That's the importance of the actual benefit received.

Then opposing counsel refers to educational benefit.

I think those cases that she's citing, if I'm correct, are -- are not addressing this issue of actual benefit when we're talking about the definition of a loan. Just, in those cases, the student, you know, went and got the -- the education -- they're arguing that there's no educational benefit, meaning it was -- it was useless to them. This is a separate thing here, this is just this element of actual benefit when we're talking about where no money exchanged hands and there's a deferred agreement to pay tuition later.

And the evidence has shown, Mr. Soballe testified that he -- he did not even attend one single class. He attempted to drop those classes online but it was difficult for him to do so. Because of the

circumstances and also because, quite simply, of all of the statements and bills and such that Mr. Soballe received, it was never made clear to him that there was another way to -- to drop classes.

And even if he would been made aware of that, then it -- it seems pretty clear that the -- the two different forms that he would have had to fill out, they say right on there that they have to be returned in person. So he would've actually had to be here.

Now I don't think that -- we're not arguing that really a loss to -- an economic loss to PSU is really relevant at all, because it's an actual benefit received by Mr. Soballe, and there clearly was no benefit. He got no credit from the classes. He didn't attend the classes.

In fact, it's been nothing but a detriment to him, not a benefit. He has been -- PSU has been pursuing this debt from him, they've garnished his tax returns. He's had trouble getting transcripts. I don't see that there's any way or any facts here that can meet that element where Mr. Soballe received an actual benefit in order for this to be a loan.

And I think to -- to not have that element in here really -- really defies logic as to what the definition of "loan" is, even under -- under McKay, under

Johnson.

And opposing counsel did point out the -- the troubling sentences, as she called them, but I don't see them so much as troubling as actually just the law there and the importance of those elements. And maybe I'll just read a few of them, although she -- she covered them pretty -- pretty well.

So in McKay, the sentence is, "The amount due on the loan must reflect the amount of the benefit received."

In Hawkins, where it says, "In order to fall within the definition of a nondischargeable debt under § 523(a)(8), the loan instrument must sufficiently articulate definite repayment terms and the repayment obligation must reflect the value of the benefit actually received."

In Johnson, which the Ninth Circuit in McKay relied on heavily as -- as persuasive authority, the sentence that opposing counsel said was troubling says quite clearly that, "The debtor signed a promissory note to evidence her debt. By allowing Johnson to attend classes without re -- prepayment, the College was, in effect, 'advancing' funds or credits to Johnson's student account. Johnson drew upon these advances through immediate class attendance." So therefore, it is

immaterial that no money actually exchanged hands.

That underscores the importance of that element of the actual benefit when we're not talking about a traditional loan. When we're just talking about an agreement to pay something later. Congress could have very well used -- when they amended the Bankruptcy Code, they could have used the word "student debt." They didn't use the word "student debt." They -- in this particular case, we now show it's got to be a loan. That was an intentional choice of Congress. And although that definition has been expanded to include situations when money changed hands, it was still very important that that -- that element of there's an actual benefit received is there in order to determine that it's a loan.

So to conclude, because PSU has not met its burden to show that Mr. Soballe assented to really any agreement, that is specifically an agreement that was in effect at the time of the 2010 classes -- which, by the way, I skipped over a point in the -- the actual 2005 agreement clearly stating on its face that the agreement would no longer be in effect after there was no outstanding account balance. Which there was -- there were many times throughout since 2005 there was no account balance. He had a zero account balance up until 2010. So clearly, the 2005 agreement can't possibly

1 control as to the 2010 debt. 2 THE COURT: Well, what about the language in 3 the -- in the Registration Guide that says, "Completely 4 dropping all courses does not cancel a student's 5 obligation to pay a student loan or the balance of a 6 revolving charge account." And I'm looking on page 18. 7 MR. JONES: Of the -- in the Registration 8 Guide? 9 THE COURT: H, right. 10 MR. JONES: So one, there's absolutely no 11 evidence that, I mean, this was even given to students, 12 that he would have ever even read this. I mean, it's available at the bookstore or online. So there's no 13 14 evidence of that. But what page, Your Honor? THE COURT: 18. 15 16 MR. JONES: So you're saying it references the 17 18 "Dropping all courses" -- I'm THE COURT: 19 looking at the top. 20 MR. JONES: All right. 21 THE COURT: "Students with outstanding" -- so 22 the very first sentence. 23 MR. JONES: Mm hm. 24 THE COURT: "Completely dropping all courses

does not cancel a student's obligation to pay a student

25

1 loan or the balance of a revolving charge account." 2 MR. JONES: Mm hm. I -- I actually think that 3 the -- I don't understand that sentence myself, because 4 we -- we know from their testimony that if -- if students 5 were to drop courses at least for that term, up until a 6 certain date there a hundred percent refund. 7 THE COURT: Yeah, and then there's -- tuition 8 refund policy is right under that. 9 MR. JONES: Yeah. Right. But yet on this --10 THE COURT: Right, but you're -- but it seems 11 to me that at least he was on notice he needed to do 12 something more than just attempt one place. MR. JONES: So if -- if what I understand the 13 14 argument is by -- it's relevant to determine whether it's a loan whether he made sufficient efforts to -- to drop 15 the classes. I mean, I don't --16 17 THE COURT: All right, I'm just wondering if 18 that's -- to me that's sort of relevant, he didn't do 19 much to sort of try and take care of this. 20 MR. JONES: Again, I think that -- that's in 21 our brief and we're arguing that because opposing counsel 22 is going out of their way to argue that. I think that, 23 you know, to -- to whatever extent it's relevant, he 24 tried to drop online, that's how he registered, there's

no indication he would have ever actually read this

25

Registration Guide, that he was ever even provided with one in the first place.

And still, I mean, with all this language in this agreement, there's many pages of it, it doesn't say how to actually drop -- there's a lot about how to register for the classes and what you're going to owe and what fees you're going to owe and -- and things like that, but there's nothing in here to say, you know, "We're going to put a hold on your account. If we do, this is, you know, go into the registration's office, there's these forms." They could have put the forms in here, how to drop the classes.

I think the point is, is they -- they're kind of hiding the ball on -- in terms of making it easy for someone to drop classes.

As soon as they owe any money, essentially, they -- they put a hold on there so you can't easily drop their -- drop the classes, making it more difficult, making it more likely that a student like Mr. Soballe wouldn't be able to drop the classes in time to get a refund.

And I say refund in quotes, because, you know, really it's just a reduction in the debt that PSU is going to pursue from them. There's no money that's going to be given back to -- to them based upon this. Because

1 the argument is, it's not really a loan. They set it up 2 to look like a loan, because 523(a) says it's got to be a 3 loan. 4 But, you know, the evidence shows that when a 5 student owes any money, they're not going to be allowed 6 to register for classes. 7 That happened with Mr. Soballe because I think 8 he registered early, he was allowed to register for a 9 term when he owed a couple hundred bucks from the term 10 before. 11 Otherwise, they would have shut him -- shut him 12 down and he wouldn't have been able to attend any 13 classes. 14 It's not -- it's not really a loan. It's a tuition debt that they want to make sure that they're 15 16 able to pursue when people file bankruptcy. 17 And if we look at those -- the Revolving --18 it's called a Revolving Charge Account Agreement, but 19 they can't charge anything on it really. If we look at the agreements in -- in McKay, that's a revolving charge 20 21 account agreement. The student could go use this thing 22 to -- to charge tuition and books and food and such, and

was an important showing in those cases. The reason it

And again, the courts made a showing, and that

23

24

25

they did.

wasn't an issue is because it clearly, they received an
actual benefit from it.

But really, this is nothing more than a -- than a one-term debt that we owe to PSU, and -- and -- called a Revolving Charge Account Agreement, so that they can try to meet the definition of a loan. And I think the facts in this case, we can see that.

I mean, I think the law is quite clear about it. This Court has decided that, the Ninth Circuit, that, you know, an extended tuition deferment, that can be a loan. But those -- it's very important that each of those elements are met, or we're just extending that definition of loan far too broadly and it just wouldn't make any sense anymore. There's actually no consideration then for it to be, it had more protection than an -- than an actual loan, where money exchanged hands.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, I'm not going to rule from the bench. I know that is my normal practice, but I am not going to do that today. I may call you back or I may issue a written opinion.

I know you had some attempts at settlement. I think you both have risks. I think there's a good reason to attempt to settle this again.

And I will tell you that I am going out of the

1	country next Wednesday, and I will be gone for the better
2	part of well, I'll be gone more than two weeks, and
3	back for a very short period of time, and then out of the
4	country again. So it's unlikely that you're going to get
5	a decision for the next month.
6	MR. JONES: Where are you going?
7	THE COURT: Ecuador and Peru.
8	MR. JONES: Mm.
9	THE COURT: For a momentous birthday. And then
10	Germany with my assistant, who has qualified for the
11	Veteran World Championships in Fencing, and I am going as
12	her Sherpa.
13	MS. SINNOTT: Your Honor, before we adjourn,
14	can I just address three points very quickly from his
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	MS. SINNOTT: I will be so fast.
17	The first one, I just want to make sure that
18	the record is clear that PSU has met its burden on
19	proving that an agreement exists. And it's not through
20	the 2005 exhibit, but it's through the data that is in
21	Exhibits E and B, as put on the record through Ms.
22	Powell's testimony.
23	The second thing I want to address is that the
24	Debtor did receive an actual benefit from this extension
25	of credit, and the benefit was that he was permitted to

1 register for classes and allowed to attend them all term.
2 He chose not to.

And if you look at the language in the McKay case from the District Court, the Court noted that in that case the educational benefit to debtor was that she could start paying classes -- could start classes without paying tuition up front. That happened here, as well. He chose not to attend, but he could have attended.

The one last thing I want to address is -THE COURT: I'm kind of grumpy about --

MS. SINNOTT: I'm sorry.

THE COURT: Just to let you know, I'm kind of grumpy about the fact that he couldn't withdraw online. Even though he could sign up online, he couldn't withdraw online. And there's -- I don't know, I'm going to look, that's one of the reasons why I'm not ruling from the bench, but there's like not sort of explicit instructions about, "Okay, you want to -- you want to not come, you need to do X, Y or Z." And yes, I get that he could have gone in, and I get that he could have sent an email. But that's not very explicit anywhere, even in the language that I read from -- from the -- from, you know, dropping courses or whatever, right?

If he got frozen out of the system because he owed money from the summer of 2010, how does he, you know

1 -- so I'm -- and -- and I told you, I have had concerns 2 about that particular issue from the very beginning of 3 this case. So just letting you know. MS. SINNOTT: And I understand that. One of 4 5 the -- one thing that we put on today, though, was that 6 his hold was not effective until October 20th, which was 7 well after the term started, and well after he 8 registered. So he could have dropped online. And he's 9 claimed that he -- he tried to before he left for Haiti, 10 but he says he can't remember one way or another. 11 have evidence in the record, through the testimony of Ms. 12 Looney, that the hold was not there until October 20th. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 MS. SINNOTT: So I think he could have dropped, 15 he just chose not to. 16 But I appreciate that, and I understand that is 17 an issue for you. 18 And then the -- the third thing I want to 19 address just very quickly is that there is a case, In re 20 Barth, 86 BR 146, and it's a case where a father co-21 signed on an educational loan and the father was the 22 debtor. Father argued that he received no educational

what the purpose of the loan was. So, I just want to

benefit or no benefit at all from the loan. And the

Court said that was not dispositive, because it looked at

23

24

25

1 make sure that's on the record. 2 And I have nothing further to add. 3 THE COURT: Okay. 4 MS. SINNOTT: Enjoy your trip. 5 MR. JONES: If I can, I guess, follow up with 6 one more. 7 I -- I think, again, if we -- if we look at 8 those cases, and I -- and I agree that the last time I 9 read the District Court, and I think Judge King's opinion 10 in McKay, he did discuss the educational benefit. The 11 Ninth Circuit did not pick up on any of that in its 12 decision, I think for a reason. 13 And I think the -- the case that opposing 14 counsel's referring to, again, is -- it's a different 15 thing, we're not talking about educational benefit. 16 If there was an agreement here, and he would 17 have benefitted in any way, like any actual benefit by 18 going to the classes, then yeah, it was for an 19 educational benefit, it was at a university. Even if he 20 would have used any of the funds for -- for tuition, 21 books or anything else. I -- I don't think that the issue here is educational benefit. 22 23 Opposing counsel keeps repeating essentially a 24 predetermined sentence, right? That -- that it's an edu -- it's an educational loan because the purpose of it was 25

1 -- the -- the distinction here is whether it's a loan in 2 the first place. Right? And the purpose of it, I -- I 3 think is really not important, not what we're arguing. 4 If there was an agreement, and if he had 5 actually received any benefit, surely it was for an 6 educational purpose. It's just whether it's a loan. 7 -- and I think you need that actual benefit, I think it's 8 clear as to why you need that actual benefit. And I 9 think the case law is quite clear in each of those cases, 10 the Court made those findings for a reason, that there 11 was an actual benefit received, and that's what -- that 12 made that necessary element to -- to call it a loan. 13 THE COURT: And there's no case out there about 14 where someone who didn't matriculate, right? MS. SINNOTT: I have not found a case. 15 16 MR. JONES: Not that I've -- not that we've 17 found. 18 THE COURT: That's unfortunate. 19 MS. SINNOTT: Yeah, that would be helpful. 20 MR. JONES: So -- so in one way, you may be the 21 only judge to either decide that a student that received 22 arguably no benefit whatsoever, it was still a 23 nondischargeable loan, or the first to decide that, in 24 this case, unlike those other cases, where there was no 25 actual benefit, that it's not a loan.

to
ng

1	MR. JONES: Yeah, but I mean, it does
2	presuppose that, assuming there was the first element
3	where there was an agreement to pay that 2009 debt. But
4	outside of that, if we're talking about actual benefit, I
5	agree, there was
6	THE COURT: He went to class.
7	MR. JONES: there was benefit for the \$200
8	or whatever it was from the term before.
9	THE COURT: And okay. I think I'm good. I
10	will get you a decision as quickly as I can. I do not
11	like to keep things under advisement. Right? Thank you
12	very much.
13	MS. SINNOTT: Thank you.
14	MR. JONES: Thank you, Judge.
15	MR. FULLER: Thank you, Judge.
16	(Adjourned)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

DECLARATION OF TRANSCRIBER

- I, Robyn M. Anderson, hereby certify that:
- a. I am an Official Transcriber for the State of Oregon;
- b. that I personally transcribed the electronic recording of the proceedings had at the time and place herein before set forth;
- c. that the foregoing transcript totaling 147 pages of audio transcription, including cover pages and index, represent an accurate and complete transcription of the entire record of the proceedings, as requested, to the best of my belief and ability.

WITNESS my hand at Gresham, Oregon this 10th day of November, 2016.

Robyn M. Anderson, Transcriber robyntype@gmail.com

Roby Indus

(503) 618-9938